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## PREFACE

This report deals with homemakers' use of and opinions about peanuts, tree nuts, peanut butter, and candy containing nuts. The study was designed to help producers, manufacturers, and distributors understand this market and to aid those concerned with efforts to increase consumption of these products. It is one of a series of studies on farm products conducted by the Market Development Branch, Marketing Research Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

The Agricultural Marketing Service assumed major responsibility for the study with cooperation and advice from other services in the Department, and from the Southeastern Peanut Association, National Peanut Council, Gold Kist Pecan Growers, Oregon Filbert Commission, and California Walnut Growers Association.

The project was under the general direction of Trienah Meyers. Hugh Bell planned the study, and International Research Associates, Inc., of New York City, conducted the survey and prepared a draft of the report, under a. contract with the U. S. Department of Agriculture.
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## HIGHLIGHTS OF FINDINGS

A survey made among a nationwide sample of homemakers showed:

## About Peanuts and Tree Nuts:

During the year preceding the survey, 7 out of 10 homemakers had used salted peanuts, about 4 out of 10 had used roasted peanuts, and more than 8 out of 10 had used one or more of the tree nuts studied. In all, 9 out of 10 respondents had used peanuts or tree nuts, or both, during the preceding year.

Older homemakers, those with no children at home, and those in lower income brackets were the least likely to use peanuts or tree nuts. The most important explanation for nonuse involved personal health problems, although not liking nuts was also mentioned frequently.

Tree nuts were much more likely than peanuts to show a seasonal trend in use. Two-thirds of the homemakers who used peanuts said they used them at about the same rate throughout the year; one-fourth of those who used tree nuts said they used them at a constant rate. Use of both types of nuts was most frequent in winter and least frequent in spring and summer. Many homemakers specified Thanksgiving and Christmas as the periods of peak usage of tree nuts.

Typically, both peanuts and tree nuts were used infrequently. In the case of each of the tree nuts, at least 6 users in 10 were infrequent users; that is, they served them less than once a month. Peanuts were served more often, but even so, almost 4 users in 10 of salted peanuts and 6 users in 10 of roasted peanuts had served them infrequently.

Almost all users had served nuts as snacks, and three-fourths had used some kind of nut in baking, cakes, cooking, or pastries. Fewer than half, however, put nuts in homemade candy, in salads, or toppings, and only about 1 in 5 had used them in other cooking.

Nine out of 10 users of peanuts or tree nuts were satisfied with the quality and freshness of the nuts. Almost everyone who bought prepackaged nuts was satisfied with the size of the container last purchased, and 9 out of 10 of those who had bought shelled nuts in various kinds of containers were satisfied with these types of packages.

Nor was availability of peanuts or tree nuts a problem for most homemakers=roughly 9 users in 10 stated that nuts were sold where they shopped for food, and about the same proportion indicated that they had bought nuts in food stores during the preceding year.

Doubts about the healthfulness of eating nuts did not seem to be a deterrent to greater use. Fewer than l user in 10 thought nuts definitely not healthful, about the same proportion made qualified statements, and about two-thirds thought nuts were healthful. However, many (17 percent in the case of peanuts and 24 percent in the case of tree nuts) said they did not know whether nuts were healthful or not, and many who did think nuts were healthful could give only general reasons for this belief. Among the few who thought nuts were not healthful, the opinion that nuts are hard to digest was the most frequent explanation.

Price did appear to be an obstacle for some homemakers, particularly with respect to tree nuts. Almost 8 users in 10 felt that peanuts are not too expensive to use regularly, but only about 4 in 10 felt that tree nuts are not too expensive for regular use.

When homemakers who used peanuts or tree nuts less often than once every week or two were asked to explain the reasons in their own words, personal health problems, dislike of the nuts, and price were mentioned often. In addition, the high proportion of tree nut users who associated them with holiday use only is particularly striking.

## About Peanut Butter:

Eighty-four percent of the homemakers reported having used peanut butter in their homes in the year preceding the survey, and about two-thirds of the users served it every week or two. Peanut butter was used in more households with children and with homemakers whose age was under 50 than in other homes; frequency of use also was strongly related to the presence of children and age of the homemaker.

Among the 16 percent who did not use peanut butter, the most important reesons were that they did not like it, found the taste disagreeable, or objected because it sticks to the roof of the mouth.

Almost all peanut butter users served it as a spread. Almost half also used it in baking or other food preparation. First among these latter uses was the baking of cookies. The main reasons for liking to use peanut butter were its taste and flavor, and the convenience of having it always ready to serve.

In 80 percent of the peanut-butter-using homes in which there were children, both adults and children were served peanut butter as a spread.

The smooth type was preferred by 7 peanut butter users in 10 .
Almost all peanut butter users expressed satisfaction with the sizes of containers available to them and with the way peanut butter keeps after the container has been opened.

Although very few homemakers who bought screw-top containers of peanut butter were critical of them, almost half of those who bought peanut butter in containers With pry tops expressed dissatisfaction with this type of container. The main objection to the pry tops was that the containers cannot be closed tightly once they have been opened.

About Candy Containing Muts:
Eighty-two percent of the respondents reported using candy containing nuts. Most said they were satisfied with the amount of nuts in candy. Almonds, peanuts, and pecans were the favorites for this kind of candy.

The most important group of reasons given for not buying candy containing nuts involved health questions.
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by Margaret Weidenhamer, project director, Market Development Branch

## INIRODUCTION

The trend in the production of peanuts has been upward over the years. In general, consumption has not kept pace with production, and surpluses of some types of peanuts for edible uses have continued to occur. The pattern of production and consumption of domestic tree nuts is similar to that of peanuts.

As part of the Department of Agriculture's efforts to further the consumption and distribution of farm products, the Market Development Branch of the Agricultural Marketing Service conducted a study of homemakers' use of and opinions about peanut and tree-nut products. It is hoped that the findings will provide guides for the solution of marketing problems and will prove useful to persons and groups concerned with increasing the use of peanuts and tree nuts.

## The Questionnaire

The questionnaire used in this study had been carefully tested to assure that the questions were understandable to respondents and would elicit useful information. Three kinds of products were covered in detail--peanuts (salted and roasted), certain tree nuts, and peanut butter. Homemakers were asked about their patterns of use, purchasing practices, and opinions with respect to these products. A few questions were also included about use of and preferences for candy containing nuts.

## Data Collection

The data for this study were collected during November and December 1955 by personal interviews with 3,085 homemakers representing a crosssection of all homemakers in the United States. (See appendix for a de= scription of the sample and note on sampling exror.) Interviewers were required to make repeated efforts to complete interviews in all assigned households, and no substitutions were permitted for households not yielding an interview. About 89 percent of the eligible homemakers were interviewed. In most cases ( 95 percent) the respondents were women; the terms "homemakers," "respondents," and "women" have been used interchangeably in the text.

## PEANUTS AND TREE NUTS

## Use of Peanuts and Tree Nuts

For the purposes of this study, use of peanuts and tree nuts was defined as purchase for home consumption by the respondent or other family members within the 12 months preceding the interview. Nuts eaten away from home were not included. (About one-fourth of the respondents said they had received nuts as gifts in the preceding year, and one-eighth indicated that some nuts were grown at home. However, almost all of these women also reported having purchased some nuts.)

Homemakers were asked about their use of salted peanuts, peanuts roasted in shells, and the following tree nuts: Almonds, English walnuts, black walnuts, pecans, filberts (hazel nuts), cashews, and mixed nuts.

Nine out of 10 reported that they had used either the kinds of peanuts or the kinds of tree nuts covered. One out of 10 had not used any of these nuts $1 /$ in the 12 months prior to the survey. More women used 1 or more of the tree nuts than used peanuts:

86 percent reported using at least 1 of the tree nuts in the 12 months preceding the interview;

74 percent reported using either salted peanuts or roasted peanuts in the 12 months preceding the interview.

As one might expect, the overlap was very high: 7 out of 10 were users of both peanuts and tree nuts.

Age showed the closest relationship to use of the nuts studied. Among respondents aged 60 or more, one-fourth had not used any, whereas among those under 50 years of age, only 5 percent reported using none of the nuts.

Probably related to this is the finding that homemakers with no children under 17 were less likely to use peanuts or tree nuts than were homemakers with children, since most of the homemakers in households with no children were in the older age groups.

Homemakers with lower incomes and those with less formal schooling reported the use of nuts to a smaller extent than homemakers higher on the socio-economic scale.

Urban-rural differences in the use of nuts were minor; rural residents were somewhat more likely than others to use peanuts (table l).
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## The Kinds of Peanuts Used

Almost all respondents who reported using peanuts in the 12 months preceding the interview had used salted peanuts. About 7 homemakers in 10 had used this kind. Roasted peanuts were considerably less popular -- roughly 4 in 10 had used them.

Most of those who used roasted peanuts also used salted peanuts; 39 percent of the homemakers reported using both, 31 percent used salted peanuts only, and 4 percent used roasted peanuts only.

In general, the differences which occurred in the use of all the nuts studied were repeated with respect to salted peanuts and roasted peanuts; age was the most important variable, a decrease in the use of salted peanuts and roasted peanuts starting with age 50 . Homemakers with no children were less likely than those with children to use either kind of peanut.

In relation to income and education, there was a difference in use of salted peanuts and of roasted peanuts. Lower income, less educated homemakers showed a lesser use of salted peanuts. The smallest proportions of users of roasted peanuts were in the lower and upper income groups, and among those with grade school or college training, as compared with the middle income and education groups.

Rural homemakers were somewhat more likely to use both salted peanuts and roasted peanuts than were other respondents (table 2).

## Familiarity with Raw Peanuts

Slightly over a fourth of the homemakers interviewed in this study reported that they had used raw peanuts at some time in the past, although not necessarily during the preceding year. Among rural residents and lower income, less educated respondents, this proportion rose to over a third. Women in their forties were more likely to have used raw peanuts than either younger or older homemakers.

About half said they were familiar with raw peanuts, but had not used them; 1 respondent in 5 had not heard of raw peanuts (table 3).

## The Kinds of Tree Nuts Used

In order to standardize procedures, interviewers used the following guides in asking about the use of tree nuts:

Homemakers who had used walnuts, but didn't know what kind, were assumed to be users of English walnuts.

Homemakers who had used mixed nuts were not considered users of the individual nuts making up the mixtures unless they had also purchased these nuts separately.

Because filberts are known as hazel nuts in some areas, homemakers who said they had not used filberts were asked if they had used hazel nuts. For those who said yes, the term "hazel nuts" was substituted for "filberts" in later questions. Fifteen percent of the homemakers reported they had used filberts; an additional 5 percent said they had used hazel nuts. In all the population subgroups studied, the majority of the users of this kind of nut responded to the term filberts. However, middle or upper income, better educated users 40 to 60 years of age were more likely than others to answer affirmatively when asked about filberts. Size of place of residence did not appear to be related to the term used by homemakers.

As noted previously, 14 percent of the homemakers reported using none of the tree nuts studied. This proportion was higher among those aged 60 or more, among those with no children, and among lower income, less educated respondents.

Among the 86 percent who had used one or more of the tree nuts, roughly two-fifths had used 1 or 2 kinds of nuts, two-fifths had used 3 or 4 kinds, and one-fifth had used 5 or 6 kinds. The ranking of the indivi.dual nuts among all respondents was as follows:

69 percent had used English walnuts;
61 percent had used mixed nuts;
56 percent had used pecans;
43 percent had used cashews;
33 percent had used almonds;
22 percent had used black walnuts;
20 percent had used filberts (hazel nuts).
Use of the individual tree nuts varied considerably according to background characteristics. In those subgroups where higher proportions were tree nut users, there was a tendency for higher proportions to report use of each of the nuts. However, the patterns were not completely consistent. For example, community size was not related to use of tree nuts generally, but rural homemakers were less likely than those in metropolitan areas to use almonds, cashews, or mixed nuts, and were more likely to use black walnuts and pecans (table 4 ).

## How Often Peanuts and Tree Nuts Were Used

Salted peanuts were used most often of all the nuts covered by the survey. Thirty-six percent of those who used salted peanuts said they used them frequently (every week or two) and another 28 percent were moderate users (about once or twice a month). In all, about two-thirds of the users of salted peanuts used them at least once every month.

Roasted peanuts were used frequently by 24 percent of the users and moderately often by 18 percent.

Of the tree nuts studied, English walnuts, pecans, and cashews were used most often. Among the homemakers who used these nuts, almost 40 percent reported that they used them once a month or more.

Among users of almonds, black walnuts, and mixed nuts, approximately 25 percent reported using them at least once a month.

Least frequently used were filberts, 14 percent of the users reporting they used them once a month or more.

Differences according to background characteristics, with respect to frequency of use of the various nuts, were relatively minor. However, there was a general tendency for lower income, less educated, and nonmetropolitan groups to use the individual tree nuts less often than the upper income, better educated, and metropolitan groups; and for the lower income, less educated to use roasted peanuts more frequently than the higher income, better educated (tables 5 through 13).

## Reasons for Nonuse of Peanuts

The most important explanations for not using salted peanuts involved the health of the respondent or some other member of the household. Onethird of the homemakers who did not use salted peanuts said that someone in the household suffered from ulcers, allergies, eczema, or other ailments which made it inadvisable for him to eat peanuts. Another 14 percent complained that salted peanuts gave them indigestion or other kinds of stomach or intestinal trouble. Eleven percent, by contrast, named taste reasons for not eating salted peanuts. An additional 16 percent said they did not like salted peanuts, without specifying a reason; many of these undoubtedly were referring to the taste.

Among the homemakers who did not use roasted peanuts, the shells and the trouble of shelling the peanuts were major obstacles. Twenty-six percent of those who did not use roasted peanuts gave reasons such as:
"It's such a bother to shell them; the shells cause a great mess; you have to clean up afterwards when you use roasted peanuts."

The second most frequently mentioned explanation for not using roasted peanuts was given by 17 percent of the nonusers, who said they were not permitted to eat them because of some ailment. Fifteen percent indicated they dia not like them, without specifying a reason (table 14).

## Reasons for Not Using Peanuts Frequently

A sizable number of the homemakers who used either or both kinds of peanuts less often than every 2 weeks gave reasons related to a feeling that they had little occasion to use them. Other leading reasons for infrequent use of peanuts involved health problems within the household or the fact that some family members did not like them.

Many of the homemakers who gave reasons for not using roasted peanuts frequently spoke of the inconvenience of shelling them, as well as the fact that in some areas they were not available (table 15).

## Reasons for Nonuse of Tree Nuts

The explanations given for not using tree nuts varied considerably, depending upon whether the homemaker was a nonuser of all the tree nuts studied, or only of selected ones; there was also variation depending upon whether she gave reasons for not using tree nuts in general or reasons pertaining to specific nuts.

Homemakers who used none of the tree nuts studied gave explanations covering all the nuts. Health was the dominant factor -- such reasons were mentioned by over half of this group.

A majority of the homemakers who used some tree nuts but not all, gave general reasons for not using the others. Among these women, the leading explanations were: Lack of occasion, mentioned by 28 percent; dislike of the nuts, mentioned by almost as many; and the expense, mentioned by 18 percent.

Respondents who discussed each nut they did not use were likely to mention what they regarded as disagreeable taste or flavor, as in the case of almonds and black walnuts; unavailability, also for black walnuts; or lack of familiarity with the nut, as in the case of filberts. The cost factor was more often mentioned as a reason for not using almonds, pecans, cashews, and mixed nuts than as a reason for not using English walnuts, black walnuts, or filberts (table 16).

## Reasons for Not Using Tree Nuts Frequently

The principal reasons given by homemakers who used one or more of the tree nuts less often than every 2 weeks involved the limited uses made of them. Three in 10 explained that they used them only during the holiday season, roughly $l$ in 10 served them only for guests, and about the same proportion indicated they did not bake or cook much. About 2 in 10 gave other general reasons involving lack of occasion to use tree nuts frequently. In addition, about one-fourth said tree nuts were too expensive for frequent use (table 15).

Homemakers who had bought peanuts, English walnuts, pecans, almonds, or filberts were asked about the ways in whichthey had used them. Almost all homemakers ( 93 percent) who had used any of these domestic nuts had served one or more of them as snacks. Three out of 4 reported using them for baking, about half said they had used them in the preparation of candy, almost as many had put nuts in salads, a third used them for toppings on cakes and frostings, and a fifth in other kinds of cooking (table 23). These uses varied considerably for different kinds of nuts. For example, users of peanuts almost universally served them as snacks, whereas domestic tree nuts were served this way by proportions ranging from 61 percent of the users (English walnuts) to 85 percent (filberts).

Pecans and English walnuts were used more than any of the other nuts in food preparation. Roughly 3 out of 4 users of these nuts had used them in baking, and roughly 4 out of 10 had used them in candy and salads.

Almonds were used for baking by more than half the homemakers who used them, and for candy and salads by roughly 2 out of 10.

In general, the more frequent the use of any given nut, the more often were multiple uses of that nut mentioned. That is, women who had used almonds (or salted peanuts, or pecans, or another kind of nut) at least once every 2 weeks were more likely to use that nut in baking or candy or salads than those who used the nut less frequently. This relationship did not appear, however, in the case of snacks. Each nut was used for snacks by about the same proportion of frequent, moderate, and infrequent users of that nut.

While the uses to which peanuts and filberts were put did not vary greatly with the income of the homemaker, this was not true with respect to English walnuts, pecans, and almonds. For these nuts, the higher the income the more likely the homemaker was to use them in food preparation, and the less likely she was to use them for snacks.

Differences in the uses to which peanuts were put by residents of small and large communities were not very great. Nonmetropolitan residents were more likely than others to use peanuts in making candy, and to use salted peanuts for baking. As for tree nuts, nonmetropolitan respondents were more likely to use them in salads and candy than were residents of large cities.

Respondents who had no children were less likely to use nuts in making candy and in baking than respondents with children at home. There were also some variations according to the age and education of the homemakers (tables 17 through 22).

The list of peanuts and tree nuts used for various purposes by homemakers who named specific uses indicates that, among homemakers who used nuts for snacks, salted peanuts were used by the highest proportion ( 85
percent). English walnuts, roasted peanuts, and pecans were each used by about half of the homemakers who used nuts for snacks. Almonds and filberts were used much less for this purpose.

Among homemakers who used nuts in food preparation, English walnuts were the top-ranking nut, with pecans second. Among homemakers who used nuts in salads, in candy, or for cake or pudding toppings, salted peanuts ranked third, ahead of almonds, whereas among homemakers who used nuts in baking and other cooking, almonds were somewhat more popular than salted peanuts. Roasted peanuts and filberts were used by 12 percent or fewer of the homemakers who used nuts for each of these purposes, aside from snacks (table 24).

## Tree Nut Preferred for Certain Uses

Homemakers who had used more than one of these tree nuts for any particular purpose were asked which they liked best for that use. English walnuts were preferred for food preparation, whereas pecans were preferred for snacks. Proportions ranged from 24 percent who liked English walnuts better than other tree nuts for use in cooking, to 37 percent who liked English walnuts better than other tree nuts for use in salads. For all uses, including snacks, English walnuts and pecans were ranked close together, whereas almonds and filberts were preferred by very few (for the most part, under 10 percent) of the homemakers who used 2 or more tree nuts for any given purpose.

Proportions ranging from a quarter to a third of the homemakers who used 2 or more tree nuts for any given purpose reported that they had no preference among the nuts they used (table 25).

The data permit a comparison of the reasons these homemakers gave for preferring English walnuts or pecans for the specified uses. For each use studied, preference for the flavor of pecans seemed more widespread than preference for the flavor of English walnuts. English walnuts seemed to have in their favor the qualities of being easy to shell, crisp, and filling (table 26).

## Reasons Individual Nuts Were Liked

All homemakers who had used the domestic nuts studied were asked why they liked to use the individual nuts in the ways they used them. Many respondents spoke of the taste or flavor of the nut. Taste reasons were mentioned more often as reasons for using almonds, English walnuts, and pecans than for peanuts or filberts.

Other reasons varied in importance, depending upon characteristics of the individual nut. The advantages attributed to salted peanuts were that they are convenient to serve, agreeable to have around for guests, and go well with drinks. Roasted peanuts also were liked for entertaining, and homemakers pointed out the fun of cracking the shells.

In reporting the reasons for liking to use almonds, English walnuts, and pecans, homemakers often said that recipes call for these nuts, and that they are decorative.

Filberts were liked particularly for use during the holidays, and as a change from fruit and candy, in addition to being liked for their taste or flavor (table 27).

## Versatility of Nuts

When homemakers who used any of the nuts studied were asked which, if any, among almonds, English walnuts, pecans, or filberts they considered unsuitable for use in salads, baking, or other cooking, sizable proportions thought all 4 nuts were suitable for these purposes, and smaller proportions said they didn't know which, if any, of the nuts were unsuitable. The remainder, roughly 3 out of 10 , named 1 or more tree nuts which they felt were unsuitable for a given purpose.

Filberts were considered by the largest number to be unsuitable for the uses specified. Approximately 1 homemaker in 4 who used peanuts or tree nuts expressed the opinion that filberts are unsuitable for use in salads, baking, or other cooking. Almonds ranked second in this list, 15 percent considering them unsuitable for salads, and about 10 percent considering them unsuitable for baking and other cooking. The proportions who thought English walnuts or pecans unsuitable for the specified uses were relatively small (table 28).

## Baking Pecans Pies

Although the preceding questions were asked only of those homemakers who were users of domestic nuts, all homemakers -- even those who had not bought nuts during the previous year -- were asked if they had ever baked a pecan pie. One-third said they had baiked a pecan pie at some time in the past; this response occurred most frequently among nonmetropolitan residents, upper income respondents, and those 30 to 50 years of age (table 29).

Of those who had baked a pecan pie, half had done so within the preceding 6 months (these details not shown in tables). Roughly one-fourth said the most recent time had been 6 months to a year ago, and about the same proportion reported it was more than a year since they had done so.

## Who in Family Was Served Nuts

In 5 out of 6 families with children, both adults and children were likely to be served nuts. 2/ In most of the remaining households, only the adults were served nuts. This was true chiefly in households where all of the children were under 5 (tables 30 and 31).
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## Seasonal Use of Peanuts and Tree Nuts

Peanut users were much less likely than tree nut users to report a seasonal trend in use. Two-thirds of the homemakers who used peanuts said they used them at about the same rate throughout the year; 1 out of 3 indicated they used them more during certain seasons or times of the year. By contrast, only l out of 4 homemakers who used tree nuts stated that they used them at a constant rate throughout the year; the remaining threequarters used them more during certain seasons. Peak usage of both peanuts and tree nuts was during cool weather (tables 32 and 33).

## Reasons for Seasonal Variations

Peanuts: The two main types of reasons given by those who used peanuts more often during the fall were: "We spend more time at home then, and that's when we like to nibble on something," and "Peanuts are fresher and keep better then." Each of these was mentioned by about one-fourth of the homemakers. No other reason was mentioned by more than 14 percent.

Half of those who used peanuts more at Thanksgiving and Christmas explained that nuts are traditional for the holidays, and 3 in 10 said they did more entertaining then and served nuts to guests. Other reasons were mentioned by 16 percent or less.

Homemakers who used peanuts more during the winter were most likely to say that they spent more time at home then and liked to have something to nibble on, or that nuts are traditional for the holidays. Each of these reasons was mentioned by about 1 homemaker in 4.

Tree Nuts: Among homemakers who used tree nuts more during cool weather, 3 explanations occurred most frequently:
"It's traditional to use nuts at holiday time."
"I do more baking and cooking then and use nuts."
"We do more entertaining then and serve nuts."
No other reason was mentioned by more than 12 percent of those who used tree nuts more during certain times of the year.

The number of homemakers who reported spring or summer as their peak period for nut consumption was too small to permit analysis of their reasons (table 34).

## Opinions About Healthfulness of Nuts

Almost 2 out of 3 respondents who were users of any of the nuts studied reported that they considered peanuts healthful, and about the same number considered tree nuts healthful. This may be an overstatement of the proportions attributing positive qualities to nuts, however, since some went on to explain that by this they meant that they felt nuts are not harmful to one's
health. Seventeen percent expressed no opinion about the healthfulness of peanuts, and 24 percent expressed no opinion about the healthfulness of tree nuts. The remainder -- 19 percent for peanuts and 13 percent for tree nuts-had some reservations, or said that nuts are not healthful, or not healthful for them personally.

The better educated and higher income respondents were somewhat more likely than others in the population to say nuts are healthful, rather than expressing no opinion. Urban-rural differences in opinion on this matter were relatively small (tables 35 and 36 ).

The reasons for considering peanuts healthful or not healthful were almost identical with the reasons for considering tree nuts healthful or not healthful.

Views of homemakers who considered nuts healthful.--The principal reason, named by 1 out of 3 respondents who considered nuts healthful, was the general feeling that nuts had a "nutritious quality" or that they had "food value." Another 1 in 4 alluded specifically to the protein content, and about 1 in 5 mentioned the vitamin content of nuts, or said that the oils in the nuts are healthful (table 37).

Views of the few homemakers who considered nuts not healthful.--Approximately half of those who considered nuts not healthful felt that nuts are hard to digest or indigestible. One in 6 considered nuts fattening, and almost as many considered them constipating. Other complaints, made by roughly 1 in 10, were that nuts are too rich and heavy and that they contain too much oil (table 38).

## Opinions Concerning Prices of Nuts

The large majority ( 77 percent) of the homemakers who used any nuts said they did not feel that peanuts were too expensive to use regularly. This was not true, however, of tree nuts. Forty-two percent of those who used any nuts felt that tree nuts were too expensive to use regularly, and an additional 14 percent felt that at least some of the tree nuts were too expensive.

Lower income, less educated, nonmetropolitan families were more likely to feel that peanuts and tree nuts were too expensive (tables 39 and 40).

The 14 percent of those using nuts who felt that both peanuts and tree nuts were too expensive were asked whether they felt that peanuts were expensive or not expensive compared with tree nuts. The majority ( 56 percent) said that peanuts were not expensive as compared with tree nuts, but 25 percent considered that they were, while an additional 6 percent said they were expensive compared with some tree nuts. Thirteen percent expressed no opinion on this question (table 41).

## Availability of Nuts and Place of Purchase

Peanuts and tree nuts were available as wanted to all but a very few homemakers. Ninety-five percent of the homemakers who used peanuts said that peanuts were available where they usually shopped for food. This proportion did not vary significantly with the income of the respondent or her place of residence (table 42). Nonusers of peanuts may have more difficulty in finding peanuts where they usually shop, but even among this group, unavailability of peanuts was a problem for only a small proportion (table 14).

Similarly, in the case of tree nuts, most homemakers who used them said that they were available where they usually shopped for food. This proportion dropped somewhat in rural sections, but remained fairly constant in the various income groups (table 43).

About half the users of peanuts and a third of the users of tree nuts said they had purchased them in more than one type of retail establishment during the 12 months preceding the survey. In each case, most had bought nuts in a food shop or market, but from 17 to 30 percent of the peanut users had bought them in special nut or candy shops, drugstores, "5 and 10's," or department stores, and the corresponding figures for tree nut users ranged from 10 to 15 percent.

The special nut or candy shop is mostly an urban phenomenon; fewer rural respondents reported purchasing nuts in this type of outlet. Lower income respondents also were less likely to have patronized a special nut or candy shop (tables 44 and 45).

When asked where they usually bought peanuts, 3 out of 4 users said that they most often bought them in a food shop or market. Twelve percent reported usually buying peanuts in the 5-and-10-cent store or in a department store; 7 percent in a special nut or candy shop; and 5 percent in a drugstore (table 46).

Eighty-five percent of the homemakers who used tree nuts usually bought them in food shops, food markets, or delicatessens. Six percent bought them most often in special candy or nut shops; 5 percent in 5-and-10 or department stores; and 2 percent in drugstores (table 47).

## In-Shell or Shelled Nuts: Purchsses and Preferences

There was wide variation with respect to purchase of nuts in shells or shelled, depending upon the nut used. Seventy-five percent of the users of filberts reported that in the preceding year they had bought filberts inshell, 15 percent had bought them shelled, and 10 percent, voth ways. In buying English walnuts, 52 percent took them in shells, 20 percent shelled, and 28 percent both ways. More users of almonds and pecans than of English walnuts and filberts bought the nuts shelled or both ways.

Frequent users of nuts were more likely than others to have purchased both in-shell and shelled nuts in the previous year. Among those who bought nuts both ways, more bought them shelled than in-shell at the time of the last purchase (tables 48 through 51).

As in the case of buying habits, there were wide variations in preferences with respect to in-shell or shelled nuts, depending upon the kind of nuts. Two out of 3 users of filberts preferred filberts in-shell; among English walnut users, this proportion dropped to about half; and among users of almonds and pecans, the proportions were roughly 1 in 3. For the last 2 kinds, the preference was clearly for shelled nuts.

Less frequent users of nuts were more likely than frequent users to prefer nuts in-shell. As will be seen in table 57, many homemakers felt that nuts stay fresher in the shells.

Those who had bought a particular nut only one way, either shelled or in-shell, in the year preceding the survey -- and they constitute the large majority of users of each nut -- usually said they preferred the nut in the form in which they had bought it.

Those who in the 12 months before the interview had bought a particular nut both ways -- in-shell and shelled -- were likely to prefer the nut shelled. Many homemakers who had bought a nut both ways indicated that their preferences depend on the uses to which they put the nut (tables 52 through 55).

Tree nut users who preferred shelled nuts gave one outstanding explanation: Roughly 85 percent of them said the nuts are easier to use this way -that the nuts are always ready to serve as needed when they are bought shelled. No other single explanation was given by as many as 1 out of 10 (table 56).

The main reason cited for preferring nuts in shells was the opinion that they stay fresh longer. About 6 out of 10 who preferred in-shell almonds, English walnuts, and pecans, and 5 out of 10 who preferred in-shell filberts gave this explanation. The next most frequent explanation was related to cost. As many as a third of the homemakers who preferred pecans in-shell mentioned this reason; the proportion decreased to 17 percent among those who preferred in-shell filberts. Among those preferring in-shell filberts, 2 additional reasons were given by more than 1 in 10; namely, that they are fun to shell and that this is the only form in which filberts are available to them (table 57).

## Preferences for Whole or Chopped Nuts

Nearly all women who had purchased the individual nuts shelled preferred them whole or in half kernels; only l out of 10 preferred them chopped, diced, or slivered. Proportions of users ranging from ll percent for almonds to 18 percent for English walnuts said they liked them both ways, depending upon the use to which they put them (table 58).

## Recency and Amount of Last Purchase

Over half the homemakers who used salted peanuts had made their most recent purchase within 2 weeks of the interview; 3 out of 4 had bought some within the month preceding the interview. One out of 3 users of roasted peanuts had bought some in the 2 weeks preceding the interview, and half in the month preceding the interview.

Almost half the users of English walnuts and pecans had bought some within a month of the interview, whereas the corresponding proportion among almond users was 30 percent and among filbert users, 18 percent. Proportions ranging from 33 percent (English walnuts and pecans) to 58 percent (filberts) had made their last purchase over 6 months before the interview (table 59).

Salted peanuts were typically purchased in small amounts; roasted peanuts in larger amounts. 3/ Roughly 2 out of 3 users of salted peanuts reported they had bought one-half pound or less at the time of their last purchase. By contrast, about 2 out of 3 users of roasted peanuts had bought more than half a pound at their last purchase.

Roughly 4 out of 10 users of almonds and pecans bought one-half pound or less at the time of their last purchase. Among users of English walnuts and filberts, roughly 3 out of 10 bought one-half pound or less at their last purchase. English walnuts were most likely to be bought in large quantities, almost a third of the users having bought more than a pound at their last purchase (table 60).

Proportions ranging from 27 percent of the users of almonds to 45 percent of the users of roasted peanuts had bought them loose (in paper bags) at the time of the last purchase (table 61). These respondents were obviously free to select the quantities they wanted. Among the remainder, who bought nuts prepackaged, there was almost universal satisfaction with the size of container last purchased; proportions ranging upward of 95 percent expressed satisfaction with the size of container, and these proportions did not vary appreciably with the size of the container last purchased or the frequency with which the nuts were used (details not in tables). Among the small number of users who were dissatisfied with the size of container they last purchased, almost all said they would prefer a larger container.

## Containers: Types Bought, and Satisfaction

Homemakers who used salted peanuts or shelled tree nuts were asked about all the types of containers in which they had purchased these nuts during the preceding year. The most popular packaging for both salted peanuts and shelled tree nuts appeared to be cellophane bags; 63 percent of the users of salted peanuts and 74 percent of the users of shelled tree nuts had bought

[^2]them in these bags in the preceding 12 months. Vacuum tins were second in favor; 53 percent of users of salted peanuts and 44 percent of users of shelled tree nuts had bought them in vacuum cans. Among users of salted peanuts, 46 percent had bought them loose (in paper bags); the corresponding figure among users of shelled tree nuts was 32 percent. Only small proportions -- 12 percent or less -- had bought nuts in boxes or glass jars (table 62).

In general, very few complaints were registered about containers. A maximum of about 1 homemaker in 10 who had purchased nuts in a given type of container mentioned any objection to buying nuts that way.

Only among homemakers who had bought salted peanuts or shelled tree nuts in cellophane bags or salted peanuts in paper bags were there enough cases to permit analysis of the reasons for dissatisfaction (table 63).

The major reason given, in over half the cases in which there was some dissatisfaction, was that the nuts get stale, wormy, or damp. A second reason among those who bought nuts in cellophane bags was that the bags split and the nuts spilled out. This reason, along with the complaint that the bag turned greasy, was also important among those who bought salted peanuts in paper bags (table 64).

## Satisfaction with the Freshness of Nuts

Users of peanuts and tree nuts seemed almost universally satisfied with the quality and freshness of the nuts they bought. Slightly over 90 percent expressed satisfaction with salted peanuts. About the same satisfaction was reported for roasted peanuts and for shelled tree nuts. These proportions varied neither with the place of residence nor the frequency of buying nuts.

## PEANUT BUTTER

## Use of Peanut Butter

About 5 respondents in 6 ( 84 percent) reported having used peanut butter in the 12 months preceding the interview, and 1 in 6 said she had not. The most significant variations in the proportions reporting the use of peanut butter were related to the age of the homemaker and the presence of children in the household.

Almost all the younger homemakers had used peanut butter. Somewhat fewer who had reached the age of 50 used it, and among homemakers aged 60 and over, the proportion who had used it in the previous year dropped to 7 in 10 .

It is not surprising that almost all households where there were children had used peanut butter in the year before the interview; but even among households in which there were no children, about three-fourths had used some peanut butter in the preceding year.

[^3]Differences in the use of peanut butter with respect to community size, education, and income were relatively small. Those who lived in large cities, the less educated, and the lower income groups showed a lesser dis~ position to use peanut butter.

Homemakers who had not used peanuts were also more likely to be nonusers of peanut butter. Apparently some of the factors which rule out peanuts for the individual respondent also obtain in the case of peanut butter (table 65).

## How Often Peanut Butter Was Used

Among 84 percent who were peanut butter users.--Two-thirds of those who used peanut butter reported they used it frequently (every week or two). The remaining third were divided almost evenly between those who said they were moderate users (about once or twice a month), and those who used it infrequently (less than once a month).

Older homemakers used peanut butter less often than younger homemakers. Among those 50 or over, a little more than half used peanut butter every week or two, whereas among those under 50, roughly 3 out of 4 used it that often. Frequency of use was also related in some measure to the presence of children in the household. Homemakers who had no children were somewhat less frequent users of peanut butter than those who did have children. However, in homes where there were only very young children, the frequency of use of peanut butter also was lower.

There were minor variations according to education and income, with the lowest income, least educated groups using peanut butter somewhat less often than others. Differences by urbanization were negligible (table 66).

## Reasons for Nonuse of Peanut Butter

Among the 16 percent who did not use peanut butter.--Each of the following explanations for nonuse of peanut butter was mentioned by one-tenth or more of the nonusers, but no single reason was mentioned by more than twotenths:
"Some family members don't like it (no reason specified)."
"It has an unpleasent taste or flavor."
"It sticks to teeth or roof of mouth."
"We're on a strict diet."
"It causes indigestion, upset stomach."
"There are no children at home, therefore, we have no use for peanut butter." (Table 67.)

## Reasons for Not Using Peanut Butter Frequently

Among the 32 percent of the peanut butter users who used it less often than every week or two.--Each of 4 explenations for not using peanut butter
more often was given by more than one-tenth, but no single reason was mentioned by more than two-tenths of this group. These reasons were:
"Some family members don't like it."
"We don't use it for very many things."
"There are no children at home."
"We eat too much of it if it's around." (Table 67.)

## Ways in Which Peanut Butter Was Used

Almost all peanut butter users had used it as a spread; almost half also used it in baking or other food preparation. These figures indicate whether or not peanut butter was used for these purposes, but do not reflect the quantities consumed each way.

Among those who used peanut butter in the preceding year, use of the product as a spread did not vary according to the major personal characteristics of the homemaker and her family. Use of peanut butter for baking or other food preparation did vary among these population subgroups, however. For example, homemakers over 50 years of age were less likely than younger homemakers to use peanut butter in the preparation of other foods. Similarly, the lower income, less educated, metropolitan homemakers who did not have school-age children were less likely than others to use peanut butter for food preparation.

Infrequent users were a little less likely than others to use it as a spread, and were much less inclined to use it in the preparation of other foods (table 68).

The use mentioned most often, aside from use as a spread, was in baking cookies. Four out of 5 who used peanut butter in the preparation of other foods had baked peanut butter cookies. The next most frequently mentioned use was in making candy, fudge, or peanut brittle. Of the homemakers who used peanut butter in food preparation, 25 percent mentioned this use, and 18 percent used peanut butter for frostings or cake fillings. Other specific uses of peanut butter in food preparation were mentioned by fewer than 5 percent (table 69).

## Reasons for Liking Peanut Butter

The popularity of peanut butter is based primarily on taste and convenience. Almost half of the respondents who used peanut butter reported they liked it for its taste or flavor. Twenty-nine percent indicated they liked it for spreads on snacks without specifying the reason. Twenty-three percent cited its convenience as an advantage, pointing out that it is quick and easy to use and needs no special preparation. Other specific virtues attributed to peanut butter, mentioned by 10 percent or less, included its healthful qualities, its texture, and its inexpensiveness (table 70).

## Who in Family Ate Peanut Butter

In 8 in 10 of the peanut-butter-using homes in which there were children, both adults and children were served peanut butter as a spread. In 1 such household in 10, only the children ate peanut butter; in most of the remaining tenth, only the adults did. (In 2 percent of these households, peanut butter was not used as a spread). In households in which all the children were quite young, the children were less likely to be served peanut butter than in other households (table 71).

In the small number of households where only children ate peanut butter, the following reasons for not serving it to the adults were offered most frequently:

> "It is too fattening."
> "We don't like it (no specific reason)."
> "It sticks to the roof of the mouth, to teeth."
> "It has an unpleasant taste or flavor; it has no taste or flavor."

Each of these explanations was given by 13 to 21 percent of the homemakers who served peanut butter only to the children.

## Seasonal Use of Peanut Butter

In over 80 percent of the peanut-butter-using homes, it was considered an all-year-round item. Variations according to background characteristics of the families were not large. In households in which peanut butter was not used equally throughout the year, it was more popular in the cold than in the warm seasons (table 72).

One of the principal reasons it was used more in the seasons when the children were attending school is that peanut butter sandwiches are popular as school-lunch fare. Many homemakers said that a food like peanui butter, which they regard as filling and as a source of body warmth, is needed more in the cold weather than in the warm weather. Another reason for greater use in cold seasons was that the homemaker does more baking in those seasons. Each of these reasons was given by proportions ranging from 18 to 39 percent of those who use more peanut butter during winter and fall.

The main reason for greater use of peanut butter in the summertime was that the children are home more, and therefore snacks are more often used. This reason was given by 85 percent of the women who served more peanut butter in the summertime (table 73).

## Opinions About Healthfulness of Peanut Butter

Eighty percent of peanut butter users considered it a healthful food, 14 percent expressed no opinion, and 6 percent had some reservation about its healthfulness, either in general or for them personally.

Opinions about the healthfulness of peanut butter were positively related to frequency of use. Among homemakers who used peanut butter frequently, 85 percent considered it healthful, and 11 percent expressed no opinion. Among those who used it infrequently, 64 percent thought peanut butter healthful, and $3 l$ percent did not say. In both of these groups only about 5 percent felt peanut butter is not healthful, or made qualified statements.

Of the background characteristics, education appeared to be most closely related to expressions of opinion about the healthfulness of peanut butter. The less educated the respondent, the less likely she was to say she thought of peanut butter as a healthful food. Among those who had only grammar school education:

73 percent said peanut butter is healthful;
20 percent expressed no opinion;
7 percent had some reservation about it.
At the other extreme, among those with some college training:
89 percent said that peanut butter is healthful;
7 percent expressed no opinion;
4 percent had some reservation about it.
Lower income homemakers, those past the age of 50, and those with no children at home also were somewhat more uncertain about the healthfulness of peanut butter (table 74).

Among the 4 users in 5 who considered peanut butter a healthful food... Thirty-four percent of those who considered peanut butter healthful gave general reasons such as:

> "It's nutritious."
> "It has good food value."
> "It gives you energy."

An additional 22 percent cited the protein content of peanut butter, about the same proportion said it is vitamin-rich, and 16 percent were of the opinion that peanut butter is rich in oils. Other qualities were attributed to peanut butter and described as healthful, such as: It puts on weight, it is filling, or it is easy to digest. Each of these was mentioned by fewer than 10 percent of this group (table 75).

The number of peanut butter users (2 percent) who felt it is not healthe ful was too smail to permit detailed tabulation of their reasons, but the answers most frequently given were:

> "It is difficult to digest."
> "It is constipating."
> "It is fattening."
> "It is too rich."

## Types of Peanut Butter Used and Preferred

Half of the homemakers using peanut butter had tried both the "smooth" and the "chunky" varieties. Almost as many had used only the smooth, and the remaining 5 percent had bought only the chunky. The rather consistent relationship between presence of children and frequency of use continued; homemakers with children and frequent users of peanut butter were more likely to have tried both types. Better educated homemakers and those 40 to 49 years of age also were more likely to have used both types (table 76).

The smooth type is by far the preferred; 5 out of 7 liked the smooth better than the chunky, 1 in 7 favored the chunky, and the remaining 1 in 7 expressed no preference.

Homemakers who had tried only one type generally preferred what they were using. Among those who had used both types, the majority ( 56 percent) preferred the smooth, and the remainder were evenly divided between those who preferred the chunky and those who expressed no preference. Lower income, less educated homemakers were a little more likely to prefer smooth peanut butter. Preferences did not vary greatly by frequency of use, the homemaker's place of residence or age, or the presence of children (table 77).

## Where Stores Display Peanut Butter

In 2 cases out of 3 , homemakers who used peanut butter reported that in the store where they usually shop the peanut butter was displayed near the jams and jellies. In $1 l$ percent of the cases, the homemakers recalled seeing it near the sandwich or meat spreads. Sixteen percent could not recall where it was kept (table 78).

## Recency and Amount of Last Purchase

Over half the users had made their most recent purchases of peanut butter within 2 weeks of the interview; an additional 1 in 4 had made her last purchase 2 to 4 weeks previously (table 79).

Ninety-five percent had bought one jar or tumbler of peanut butter at the time of last purchase. Thus, the size of the last container usually indicated the total amount of peanut butter the homemaker had bought at her last purchase. (In some cases these figures represent estimates, since respondents were not asked to check by looking at the container.)

Reporting on their last purchases, a little over a third of the users said they had bought less than 9 ounces. About the same proportion indicated they bought between 9 and 15 ounces, and almost that many purchased 1 pound or more.

Although the amount of peanut butter bought at one time was not greatly affected by the income of the homemaker or her place of residence, presense of school-age children-did affect the size of purchase. Among all-adult
households, 43 percent last purchased less than 9 ounces, whereas among homemakers with school-age children, the proportion who bought small sizes dropped to 27 percent.

There were also significant differences according to frequency of use of peanut butter in the household. Among homemakers who used peanut butter frequently, only 27 percent bought less than 9 ounces, whereas among infrequent users 57 percent bought these small amounts (table 80).

Nearly all the users--96 percent--expressed satisfaction with the size of container they last bought. Almost all of the small dissatisfied group felt that the container they bought was too small for convenience or economy.

## Containers: Types Bought and Objections

The screw-top jar was the type of container in which peanut butter had been purchased by the largest number of respondents in the year preceding the interview. Seven out of 10 homemakers who used peanut butter had bought it in this type of container. Tumblers with pry tops and jars with pry tops had each been purchased by roughly 4 out of 10 peanut butter users. Cans or tins were bought by a negligible proportion (3 percent).

Only 2 percent of those who bought peanut butter in jars with screw tops had any complaints about the container. Containers with pry tops generated the most dissatisfaction. Over one-third of the homemakers who had bought peanut butter in either jars or tumblers with pry tops reported dislikes.

The main objection to pry tops was that the containers cannot be closed tightly once they have been opened; this objection was mentioned by 4 out of 5 women who had any complaint about the pry tops. Some homemakers felt that pry tops are difficult to open in the first place, and that peanut butter does not stay as fresh in these containers. No other reason was mentioned by as many as 5 percent of the dissatisfied group.

## Storage of Peanut Butter

The fact that a homemaker used peanut butter did not assure that she would have some available at her home. Abcut 1 out of 4 peanut butter users had none on hand at the time of the interview. Almost all of the 3 homemakers in 4 who had some on hand had already opened it for use, and the majority had opened it within the preceding 2 weeks.

Homemakers with school-age children, and better educated, higher income homemakers were somewhat more likely than others to have a container of peanut butter on hand. Those between the ages of 30 and 39 were more likely than either younger or older homemakers to have some.

The more often respondents used peanut butter, the more likely they were to have some in their homes. Among those who said they used peanut butter frequently, 83 percent reported having some on hand, whereas among those who reported using it infrequently, only 42 percent had some.

There were no differences in the replies to this question by community size; metropolitan, urban, and rural residents were equally likely to have some peanut butter in their homes at the time of the interview (table 81).

Seven out of 10 users habitually kept opened peanut butter in cabinets or on shelves, and 3 out of 10 kept it in their refrigerators or ice boxes. The more frequent users of peanut butter and the better educated, upper income homemakers were generally less likely to store it in their refrigerators or ice boxes. Variations among other groups were not large (table 82).

## Satisfaction with Keeping Qualities

Nearly all users expressed satisfaction with the keeping qualities of peanut butter after the container had been opened. Attitudes were the same whether the opened container was kept in the refrigerator or not. Nor was frequency of use an important factor; infrequent users of peanut butter were somewhat more critical of peanut butter's keeping qualities, but even in this group, 92 percent were satisfied (table 83).

The criticisms voiced by the small number who were dissatisfied with the keeping qualities of peanut butter were as follows: Approximately half complained that the peanut butter turns hard; roughly 1 in 6 said it turns rancid; about the same proportion stated that it gets old and stale or that the oil separates.

## Substitutes for Peanut Butter

Homemakers were asked which foods they used in place of peanut butter when they had none in the house. Jellies, jams, and preserves were the major substitutes for this product. Forty percent named such spreads as substitutes for peanut butter. Seventeen percent used cheese when there was no peanut butter in the house, 12 percent used butter, and 10 percent used meat spreads, meat, or canned meat.

However, over a third of the peanut butter users explained that there was no food they considered a substitute for peanut butter (table 84).

Among the two-thirds who reported using substitutes when they were out of peanut butter, the largest group (about half) stated that the main point of resemblance between peanut butter and the substitute mentioned was that they were both spreads. About 20 percent said both peanut butter and the substitute they used are nourishing and have good food value. No other single point of resemblance was mentioned by more than 10 percent of the respondents (table 85).

## CANDY CONTAIVING NUIS

## Use of Candy Containing Nuts

About 4 respondents in 5 reported buying candy containing nuts for home use; 1 in 5 said they had not used any in their homes in the 12 months preceding the interview. Candy containing nuts was used by more of the younger
homemakers than those in the older groups; and more of those with children in the homes used it than those with no children. Its use was markedly less in households with homemakers aged 60 or over; 6 in 10 of those in this age group reported use of candy containing nuts, compared with almost 8 in 10 of those aged 50 to 59, and about 9 in 10 younger homemakers. In households where there were children, over 90 percent reported using candy containing nuts in the past year; in households where there were no children, 71 percent had used it.

There was also a strong relationship between serving peanuts and tree nuts and serving candy containing nuts.

In households where both peanuts and tree nuts were used, 9 in 10 also served candy containing nuts.

In homes where neither peanuts nor tree nuts were served, less than 4 in 10 used candy containing nuts.

Use of candy containing nuts was lower among the lowest income and education groups. Differences according to community size were negligible (table 86).

## Reasons for Not Using Candy Containing Nuts

The most important group of reasons given for not buying candy containing nuts involved health problems. About 2 nonusers in 10 said nuts were not good for them; about the same number said candy was not good for them, and an additional 2 in 10 said that candy containing nuts was not good for them, without specifying whether it was the candy or the nuts which they considered injurious. Another 1 in 10 said, "We don't eat candy," without explaining whether the restriction was caused by health or preference reasons.

Dislike of candy or of nuts was mentioned also as a reason for nonuse of this type of candy. About 1 in 10 reported they did not like candy, and about $l$ in 10 said they did not like nuts, of that they preferred candy without nuts (table 87).

## Types of Nuts Purchased in Candy

Peanuts, followed closely by almonds, led the list of nuts which had been bought in candy or candy bars. Eighty percent of those who bought candy containing nuts in the year preceding the survey reported that at least some of the candy they bought contained peanuts; 74 percent reported purchasing candy containing almonds. Next highest ranking were pecans ( 42 percent) and English walnuts ( 28 percent). No other nut was named by more than 13 percent of the users of candy containing nuts (table 88).

## Candy With or Without Nuts: Preferences

Among users of candy containing nuts, 5 times as many ( 63 percent) pre= ferred candy containing nuts as preferred candy without nuts (12 percent). About a quarter stated they had no preference.

Candy containing nuts, as opposed to candy without nuts, had the greatest margin of preference among homemakers who used both peanuts and tree nuts, and the least in households where neither peanuts nor tree nuts were used; in homes where no nuts were used, as many preferred candy without nuts as candy containing nuts (table 89).

A little more than a third of those who bought candy containing nuts said they had no preference for any particular type of nut in their candy. Many of the homemakers who expressed a preference mentioned more than one nut they especially liked. Almonds were favored by 32 percent, with peanuts ( 27 percent) and pecans ( 22 percent) close runners-up. English walnuts, favored by 10 percent, were the only other nut mentioned by more than a few homemakers (table 90).

## Satisfaction with Amount of Nuts in Candy

Little dissatisfaction was expressed with the amount of nuts in candy or candy bars. Eighty-five percent of the homemakers who used candy containing nuts said they were satisfied with the nut content of candy.

As one might expect, the feeling that the quantity of nuts in candy is too small ran highest among homemakers who preferred candy containing nuts; 19 percent of this group expressed dissatisfaction, compared with 4 percent among those who preferred candy without nuts. Better educated, younger homemakers were a little more likely to express dissatisfaction with the amount of nuts in candy.

There were no differences according to place of residence, family income, or presence of children (table 91).

## APPENDIX

## THE SAMPLE DESIGN

The universe from which the sample was drawn consisted of all households in continental United States with facilities for preparing food. The design calls for a stratified multi-stage probability sample which ensures that every household in the United States has a known probability of being included. The specific method for selecting the sample was as follows:

## Selecting the Primary Sampling Units

Three types of primary sampling units (psu) were used.
The first type of psu was the standard metropolitan area with a population of $1,000,000$ or more people.

The second and third types of psu's were the urban and rural parts of counties. These were defined for all counties in the United States except those which constitute the metropolitan areas of $1,000,000$ or more people.

The urban part of a county was composed of all places of 2,500 or more people within a county. The rural part of the county was that part of the county which was not the urban part. That is, it was composed of all places with fewer than 2,500 inhabitants, and open country.

As the 3 types of psu are mutually exclusive and contain all households in the United States, they provide a stratification by community size.

## Metropolitan Areas of 1,000,000 or More Population

All metropolitan areas of $1,000,000$ or more population were included in the sample. Interviews in groups of 24 were assigned in proportion to the population of each area.

The metropolitan area was then divided into smaller sampling units (secondary sampling units) which consisted of blocks in urban places 5/ and parts of an enumeration district in rural places. These smaller units were listed in geographic order, and a systematic sample of blocks or rural segments was selected with a probability proportionate to size. 6/ Four secondary sampling

[^4]areas were selected for each group of 24 interviews assigned to a metropolitan area. A cluster of 6 interviews was assigned to each secondary sampling unit that was selected.

## Urban Parts of Counties

The urban parts of counties were stratified into the 9 major census regions. Interviews in groups of 24 were assigned to each stratum in proportion to the population of the stratum. The counties within the stratum were listed in geographic order, and a systematic sample of counties was selected with a probability proportionate to the urban population of the county. The number of counties selected was equal to the number of interview groups assigned to the stratum.

Within each county selected, all blocks in all urban places were listed in geographic order, and a systematic sample of 4 blocks was selected with a probability proportionate to the population of the block. Six interviews were assigned to every block that was selected for the sample.

## Rural Parts of Counties

The rural parts of counties were stratified into the 9 major census regions. Interviews in groups of 12 were assigned to each stratum in proportion to the population of the stratum. 7/ The counties within the stratum were listed in geographic order and a systematic sample of counties was selected with a probability proportionate to the rural population of the county. 8/ The number of counties selected in each stratum was equal to the number of interview groups assigned to the stratum.

Within each county selected, the rural area was divided into segments and the segments were listed in geographic order. A systematic sample of 2 rural segments was selected in each county. Six interviews were assigned to each rural segment that was selected for the sample.

## The Selection of Households and Respondents

The households in each block and rural segment were prelisted and a systematic sample of 6 households was selected for interviewing from the list. As a fixed number of households was drawn from each segment, all households

[^5]do not have exactly the same chance of selection unless the actual size of the segment is equal to the assumed or estimated size that was used when it was drawn. However, the probabilities of selection are sufficiently close to being equal so that weighting was regarded as unnecessary except for the difference in overall rates between the urban and rural areas.

The respondent interviewed within each household was the person with the primary responsibility for preparing meals.

The overall completion rate was 89.3 percent.
The completion rates for the 3 size-of-place strata were:

| Size-of-place strata | Completion rate |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{86}$ |
| Metropolitan | 91 |
| Urban | 92 |

The major reasons for mortality were: Refusal to grant interviews, selection of dwelling units which turned out to be vacant, and inability to reach the respondents. The last factor was kept to a minimum by making at least 3 call-backs to reach the selected respondents.

## Note on Sampling Error

An idea of the approximate order of magnitude of the sampling standard error can be obtained by computing the value of $\sqrt{\frac{p q}{n}}$ and then making an arbitrary allowance for the difference in efficiency between an unrestricted random sample and the sample actually used for this study. For example, 74 percent of the 3,085 respondents used peanuts in the year preceding the interview. In this case $\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{pg}}{\mathrm{n}}}=\sqrt{\frac{(74)(26)}{3085}}=1$ percent. Note that n is the number of cases on which the percent, 74 , is based, and $q$ is equal to 100 minus 74.

If the sample could be interpreted as equivalent in precision to an unrestricted random sample, 73 and 75 or 72 and 76 would be quoted as the 67 percent or the 95 percent confidence limits, respectively, for the estimate of the percent who used peanuts. However, the loss in statistical efficiency due to clustering is not completely offset by gain in statistical efficiency due to stratification, so the use of $\sqrt{\frac{p q}{n}}$ gives an underestimate of the sampling error.

Comparisons of computed sampling errors from previous studies (using appropriate formulas corresponding to the sample design) with value of $\sqrt{\frac{p q}{n}}$
suggest that the value of $\sqrt{\frac{p q}{n}}$ should be inflated by a factor between about 1.25 and 1.75. In other words, the sampling error for the 95 percent level of probability might be expressed as $2 k \sqrt{\frac{p q}{n}}$ where $k$ is an unknown number but past experience indicates that it is between 1.25 and 1.75 for samples similar to that used for this survey. The value of $k$ varies from item to item and also with the nature of breakdown of the data in the case of percents based upon only a part of the total sample.

This provides only a means of speculating on the sampling error, but is a useful aid in the interpretation of results because, even though one does not have a mathematical basis for making a probability statement about sampling error, one can be reasonably sure that the sampling error pertaining to an estimated percent, $p$, is not more than about $\sqrt[3]{\frac{p q}{n}}$ percentage points. This holds as a rough approximation even though the sample was not selfweighted, $n$ being the unweighted $n$ referred to in the next section of this report.

## THE WEIGHTTNG PROCESS

Since rural areas were under-sampled by 50 percent, all rural interviews were duplicated in order to form the complete count of 3,789 homemakers who represent a nationwide cross-section of homemakers. All percentages in this report are based on weighted n's; the number of cases shown in each table are unweighted n's.

In selected tables, each unweighted $n$ was determined by actual count of the number of homemakers who were asked the question. In the remainder of the tables, the unweighted n for the total homemakers represented in the table was established by actual acount; the unweighted $n$ for each subgroup shown in the table was computed by the formula:

$$
\frac{\text { Unweighted } n \text { for subgroup }}{\text { Weighted } n \text { for subgroup }}=\frac{\text { Unweighted } n \text { for total }}{\text { Weighted } n \text { for total }}
$$

The following tables are presented generally in the order in which the findings are discussed in the preceding sections.

Since rural areas were undersampled by 50 percent (as described previously in the appendix), all rural interviews were duplicated in order to provide a complete count representing a nationwide cross-section of homemakers. All percentages are based on weighted totals, but the numbers of cases shown in the tables are adjusted to indicate the actual number of interviews taken.

The responses to the question on family income were grouped roughly into terciles; the "lower" income category includes family incomes up to $\$ 3,000$; "middle" incomes are between \$3,000 and \$5,000; "upper" incomes are \$5,000 and over.

The community size classifications are as follows:
Metropolitan -- Standard metropolitan areas with populations of 1 million or more.

Urban -- Urban areas with populations of less than 1 million and all urban places of over 2,500.

Rural -- Towns with fewer than 2,500 inhabitants, open country areas, and farms.

In some tables the numbers of cases in groupings such as those by age, income, and education may add to less than the total number of cases shown because the information was not ascertained for some respondents.
Table l.m-Home use of peanuts and selected tree nuts in the last 12 months

| Total | Used peanuts only | Used tree nuts only | Used both peanuts and tree nuts | Used neither peanuts nor tree nuts | Subtotals |  | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Used peanuts | Used tree nuts |  |  |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
|  | 4 | 16 | $70$ | 10 | 74 | 86 | 100 | 3,085 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan ----mon-m-m. | 3 | 17 | 68 | 12 | 71 | 85 | 100 | 1,072 |
| Urban -------m-----m-n-mom | 3 | 16 | 70 | 11 | 73 | 86 | 100 | 1,309 |
| Rural -------------------- | 6 | 14 | 72 | 8 | 78 | 86 | 100 | 704 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 18 | 60 | 15 | 67 | 78 | 100 | 1,121 |
| Middle --------mmmommmom | 2 | 14 | 76 | 8 | 78 | 90 | 100 | 1,085 |
| Upper ------m--m----m---- | 2 | 14 | 79 | 5 | 81 | 93 | 100 | 838 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school ------m-m- | 6 | 18 | 61 | 15 | 67 | 79 | 100 | 978 |
| High school | 3 | 14 | 76 | 7 | 79 | 90 | 100 | 1,599 |
| College -----m------------ | 2 | 15 | 76 | 7 | 78 | 91 | 100 | 486 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only -----m-m- | 4 | 11 |  | 6 | 83 | 90 | 100 | 299 |
| $5-16$, only ------------m | 4 | 10 | 82 | 4 | 86 | 92 | 100 | 734 |
| Both age groups ---------- | 6 | 10 | 79 | 5 | 85 | 89 | 100 | 448 |
| No children -----m-m----- | 3 | 20 | 61 | 16 | 64 | 81 | 100 | 1,604 |
| Aste of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30-m-------------- | 4 | 11 | 80 | 5 | 84 | 91 | 100 | 571 |
| $30-39$------m---m-m--m-- | 6 | 10 | 79 | 5 | 85 | 89 | 100 | 700 |
| 40 - 49 ------------m-m--- | 3 | 13 | 79 | 5 | 82 | 92 | 100 | 671 |
| $50-59$------------------ | 4 | 20 | 64 | 12 | 68 | 84 | 100 | 525 |
| 60 or over ---------------- | 3 | 24 | 49 | 24 | 52 | 73 | 100 | 617 |

Table 2.--Home use of peanuts, by type, in the last 12 months

|  |  |  |  |  | Sub | als |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | salted peanuts only | roasted peanuts only | Used both | Used neither | Used salted peanuts | Used roasted peanuts | Total | Cases |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total | 31 | 4 | 39 | 26 | 70 | 43 | 100 | 3,085 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan ----------- | 33 | 5 | 33 | 29 | 66 | 38 | 100 | 1,072 |
| Urban ------------------ | 33 | 4 | 36 | 27 | 69 | 40 | 100 | 1,309 |
|  | 29 | 4 | 45 | 22 | 74 | 49 | 100 | 704 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 27 | 6 | 34 | 33 | 61 | 40 | 100 | 1,121 |
| Middle ----------------- | 30 | 4 | 44 | 22 | 74 | 48 | 100 | 1,085 |
| Upper ----------------- | 40 | 2 | 39 | 19 | 79 | 41 | 100 | 838 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school --0-0-0-0-- | 26 | 6 | 35 | 33 | 61 | 41 | 100 | 978 |
| High school -------=---0 | 33 | 3 | 43 | 21 | 76 | 46 | 100 | 1,599 |
| College ---------------- | 40 | 4 | 34 | 22 | 74 | 38 | 100 | 486 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only --------- | 36 | 3 | 44 | 17 | 80 | 47 | 100 | 299 |
| $5-16$, only ----------- | 32 | 4 | 50 | 14 | 82 | 54 | 100 | 734 |
| Both age groups =------- | 29 | 3 | 53 | 15 | 82 | 56 | 100 | 448 |
| No children ------------ | 31 | 5 | 28 | 36 | 59 | 33 | 100 | 1,604 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 36 | 4 | 44 | 16 | 80 | 48 | 100 | 571 |
| $30-39$----------*----- | 35 | 3 | 47 | 15 | 82 | 50 | 100 | 700 |
|  | 32 | 3 | 47 | 18 | 79 | 50 | 100 | 671 |
|  | 30 | 4 | 34 | 32 | 64 | 38 | 100 | 525 |
| 60 or over ------------* | 24 | 7 | 21 | 48 | 45 | 28 | 100 | 617 |

Table 3.--Proportions of homemakers who had ever used or heard of raw peanuts

| Total | Had used raw peanuts | Had not used raw peanuts |  |  | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Had heard of them | Had not heard of them | Don't <br> know |  |  |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
|  | 28 | 48 | 21 | 3 | 100 | 3,085 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan -------------------------- | 14 | 46 | 36 | 4 | 100 | 1,072 |
| Urban | 29 | 51 | 18 | 2 | 100 | 1,309 |
| Rural | 38 | 47 | 13 | 2 | 100 | 704 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower ---------------------------------- | 38 | 43 | 16 | 3 | 100 | 1,121 |
| Middle ------------------------------- | 23 | 48 | 26 | 3 | 100 | 1,085 |
| Upper --------------------------------- | 20 | 56 | 22 | 2 | 100 | 838 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 36 | 41 | 21 | 2 | 100 | 978 |
| High school --------------------------- | 25 | 50 | 22 | 3 | 100 | 1,599 |
| College ------------------------------- | 22 | 56 | 20 | 2 | 100 | 486 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only ------------------------- | 23 | 45 | 29 | 3 | 100 | 299 |
|  | 32 | 45 | 21 | 2 | 100 | 734 |
| Both age groups ----------------------- | 29 | 46 | 23 | 2 | 100 | 448 |
|  | 27 | 50 | 20 | 3 | 100 | 1,604 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 ------------------------------ | 21 | 47 | 29 | 3 | 100 | 571 |
|  | 26 | 48 | 24 | 2 | 100 | 700 |
|  | 34 | 47 | 17 | 2 | 100 | 671 |
| $50-59$------------------------------- | 29 | 52 | 17 | 2 | 100 | 525 |
| 60 or over ---------------------------- | 29 | 48 | 20 | 3 | 100 | 617 |
| Use of peanuts and tree nuts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 32 | 41 | 22 |  | 100 | 121 |
| Used tree nuts only ------------------- | 26 | 48 | 24 | 2 | 100 | 478 |
| Used both peanuts and tree nuts m------ | $29$ | 49 | 19 | 3 | 100 | 2,174 |
| Used neither | 24 | 42 | 31 | 3 | 100 | 312 |

Table 4.--Home use of tree nuts, by type, in the last 12 months

| Total <br> Community size | Tree nuts used |  |  |  |  |  |  | Used no tree nuts | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Almonds | English walnuts | Black walnuts | Pecans | Filberts $1 /$ | Cashews | Mixed nuts |  |  |
|  | Percent $2 /$ | Percent $2 /$ | Percent2/ | Percent 2 | Percent $2 /$ | Percent $2 /$ | Percent 2 | Percent $2 /$ | Number |
|  | 33 | 69 | 22 | 56 | 20 | 43 | 61 |  | 3,085 |
| Metropolitan --=-=-=- | 38 | 69 | 18 | 48 | 22 | 51 | 66 | 15 | 1,072 |
| Urben | 35 | 68 | 21 | 58 | 21 | 47 | 60 | 14 | 1,309 |
| Rural ----------=-=-0 | 27 | 71 | 25 | 59 | 19 | 34 | 59 | 14 | 704 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower $=-\infty-\infty=-\infty=-\infty=-$ | 24 | 61 | 22 | 49 | 16 | 29 | 48 | 22 | 1,121 |
| Midale $=-=-\infty-\infty=-=-0$ | 37 | 75 | 21 | 55 | 22 | 50 | 66 | 10 | 1,085 |
| Upper $---=-\infty-\infty=-=-=-$ Education of respondent | 42 | 74 | 24 | 66 | 25 | 56 | 75 | 7 | 838 |
| $\frac{\text { Education of respondent }}{\text { Grade school }-\infty=-0=-\infty}$ | 25 | 63 | 20 | 47 | 18 | 29 | 49 | 21 | 978 |
|  | 36 | 73 | 24 | 59 | 22 | 49 | 62 | 10 | $1,599$ |
|  | 42 | 72 | 20 | 62 | 21 | 56 | 69 | 9 | $486$ |
| Under 5, only ---=-0= | 30 | 73 | 22 | 56 | 18 | 45 | 65 | 10 | 299 |
| $5 \text { - 16, only }$ | 36 | 79 | 26 | 62 | 25 | 51 | 73 | 8 | 734 |
| Both age groups $=-=-$ | 32 | 74 | 24 | 56 | 23 | 46 | 66 | 11 | 448 |
| No children | 32 | 62 | 19 | 52 | 18 | 38 | 53 | 19 | 1,604 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under $30=-\infty=-=-=-\infty$ | 30 | 68 | 21 | 54 | 19 | 47 | 65 | 9 | 571 |
| $30-39--=-=-=-=-=$ | 35 | 75 | 23 | 57 | 23 | 48 | 71 | 11 | 700 |
| $40-49$-------------0 | 38 | 78 | 24 | 64 | 24 | 47 | 68 | 8 | 671 |
| $50=59$ | 35 | $67$ | $21$ | 57 | $19$ | 40 | 58 | 26 | 525 |
| 60 or over | 28 | 56 | 19 | 44 | 15 | 30 | 42 | 27 | 617 |
| 1/ "Filberts," in thi called them. | table and | in all oth | ers, refer | to both | filberts and | hazel | s, which | ver the ho | maker |
| 2/ Percentages add to | ore than | 00 because | some resp | ndents u | d more tha | 1 kind | nut. |  |  |

Table 5.--Frequency of use of salted peanuts among users of salted peanuts

|  | Every week or two | About once or twice a month | Less than once a month, but more than 3 times a year | 3 times <br> a year <br> or less | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total | 36 | 28 | 22 | 14 | 100 | 2,146 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan ----------- | 34 | 29 | 23 | 14 | 100 | 716 |
| Urban ------------------- | 35 | 27 | 22 | 16 | 100 | 911 |
| Rural ------------------- | 39 | 28 | 21 | 12 | 100 | 519 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower ------------------ | 36 | 27 | 22 | 15 | 100 | 734 |
| Middle ------------------ | 36 | 27 | 23 | 14 | 100 | 772 |
| Upper ------------------- | 36 | 32 | 20 | 12 | 100 | 616 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school ----------- | 37 | 26 | 19 | 18 | 100 | 615 |
| High school --------------1 | 36 | 30 | 22 | 12 | 100 | 1,177 |
| College ----------------- | 36 | 27 | 23 | 14 | 100 | 344 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only ---------- | 35 | 30 | 19 | 16 | 100 | 241 |
| $5-16$, only ------------ | 41 | 28 | 20 | 11 | 100 | 610 |
| Both age groups -------- | 37 | 30 | 23 | 10 | 100 | 386 |
| No children | 33 | 27 | 23 | 17 | 100 | 909 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 ---------------- | 36 | 30 | 23 | 11 | 100 | 446 |
| $30-39$----------------- | 38 | 28 | 22 | 12 | 100 | 571 |
| $40-49$------------------ | 40 | 32 | 17 | 11 | 100 | 530 |
|  | 35 | 24 | 22 | 19 | 100 | 327 |
| 60 or over -------------- | 29 | 23 | 25 | 23 | 100 | 272 |

Table 6．－－Frequency of use of roasted peanuts among users of roasted peanuts

|  | Every week or two | About once or twice a month | Less than once a month，but more than 3 times a year | 3 times <br> a year <br> or less | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 24 | 18 | 24 | 34 | 100 | 1，285 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan - －－－－－－－－－－ | 24 | 20 | 24 | 32 | 100 | 411 |
| Urban－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 23 | 17 | 23 | 37 | 100 | 530 |
| Rural－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 25 | 18 | 25 | 32 | 100 | 344 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 27 | 18 | 24 | 31 | 100 | 469 |
| Middle－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 23 | 18 | 25 | 34 | 100 | 487 |
|  | 20 | 19 | 25 | 36 | 100 | 316 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school－－－＞－－－－－0－ | 28 | 20 | 23 | 29 | 100 | 409 |
|  | 23 | 17 | 25 | 35 | 100 | 700 |
| College－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 19 | 16 | 23 | 42 | 100 | 170 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5，only－－－－－－＝－＞＞＞－ | 22 | 22 | 24 | 32 | 100 | 137 |
| $5-16$ ，only－－－－－－－－－－－ | 26 | 17 | 23 | 34 | 100 | 389 |
| Both age groups $=-\infty-\infty$ | 24 | 18 | 29 | 29 | 100 | 259 |
| No children－－ロー－ー－ー－－a－ | 23 | 17 | 23 | 37 | 100 | 500 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under $30-\infty-\infty-=-\infty-\infty=-\infty$ | 23 | 19 | 25 | 33 | 100 | 259 |
|  | 22 | 13 | 36 | 29 | 100 | 342 |
| 40－49－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 25 | 23 | 20 | 32 | 100 | 329 |
|  | 25 | 14 | 25 | 36 | 100 | 191 |
|  | 19 | 18 | 24 | 39 | 100 | 164 |

Table 7．－－Frequency of use of almonds among users of almonds

|  | Every week or two | About once or twice <br> a month | Less than once a month，but more than 3 times a year | 3 times <br> a year <br> or less | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
|  | 8 | 15 | 26 | 51 | 100 | 1，059 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 9 | 16 | 31 | 44 | 100 | 412 |
| Urban－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 10 | 12 | 24 | 54 | 100 | 454 |
| Rural－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 6 | 16 | 22 | 56 | 100 | 193 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower－－ロー－ー－ー－ー－ー－－－－－－－ | 7 | 10 | 25 | 58 | 100 | 295 |
| Middle－－－ー－ー－ー－ー－ー－－－－－－－ | 7 | 14 | 26 | 53 | 100 | 408 |
| Upper－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 12 | 19 | 27 | 42 | 100 | 346 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school－－－－－－＊－－－－－－ | 11. | 15 | 21 | 53 | 100 | 266 |
| High school－－－－－m－－－－－－－－－ | 7 | 13 | 27 | 53 | 100 | 581 |
| College－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 9 | 19 | 30 | 42 | 100 | 206 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5，only $-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty$ | 10 | 22 | 26 | 42 | 100 | 96 |
|  | 7 | 17 | 23 | 53 | 100 | 284 |
| Both age groups $-⿰-\infty-\infty-\infty$ | 5 | 11 | 26 | 58 | 100 | 156 |
| No children－－－mmmom－－m－－ | 10 | 13 | 27 | 50 | 100 | 523 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under $30-$－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 9 | 19 | 25 | 47 | 100 | 178 |
| $30-39$－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 6 | 15 | 25 | 54 | 100 | 252 |
| 40 － 49 －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 8 | 13 | 25 | 54 | 100 | 265 |
| $50-59$－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 12 | 13 | 24 | 51 | 100 | 188 |
| 60 or over－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 6 | 12 | 32 | 50 | 100 | 176 |

Table 8．－－Frequency of use of English walnuts among users of English walnuts

|  | Every week or two | About once or twice a month | Less than once a month，but more than 3 times a year | 3 times <br> a year <br> or less | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total | 19 | 18 | 24 | 39 | 100 | 2，128 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan－－m－－－－－－0 | 19 | 21 | 29 | 31 | 100 | 734 |
| Urban－－－－－－－－－ヘ－ー－ー－－－－ | 19 | 17 | 22 | 42 | 100 | 898 |
|  | 19 | 17 | 23 | 41 | 100 | 496 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower－－－ヘ－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 11 | 14 | 24 | 51 | 100 | 733 |
| Middle－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 22 | 18 | 25 | 35 | 100 | 794 |
| Upper＝－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 25 | 23 | 22 | 30 | 100 | 577 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17 | 13 | 22 | 48 | 100 | 640 |
| High school－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 20 | 19 | 24 | 37 | 100 | 1，145 |
| College－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 22 | 24 | 27 | 27 | 100 | 332 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5，only－－－－－－－－－ | 18 | 17 | 23 | 42 | 100 | 221 |
| $5-16$ ，only $=---\infty-\infty$ | 22 | 21 | 21 | 36 | 100 | 592 |
| Both age groups－－－－－－－－ | 20 | 17 | 23 | 40 | 100 | 352 |
| No children－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 17 | 17 | 27 | 39 | 100 | 963 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 －－－－－－－－－－－－－＊ | 17 | 17 | 23 | 43 | 100 | 385 |
| $30-39$－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－0 | 20 | 19 | 26 | 35 | 100 | 523 |
|  | 22 | 20 | 23 | 35 | 100 | 527 |
| $50-59$－－－－－－－－－－－－0－0－ | 18 | 17 | 23 | 42 | 100 | 347 |
| 60 or over－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 17 | 16 | 25 | 42 | 100 | 346 |

Table 9.--Frequency of use of black walnuts among users of black walnuts

|  | Every week or two | About once or twice a month | Less than once a month, but more than 3 times a year | 3 times <br> a. year <br> or less | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total | 12 | 14 | 28 | 46 | 100 | 649 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan ----------- | 8 | 12 | 31 | 49 | 100 | 189 |
| Urban ------------------- | 12 | 16 | 26 | 46 | 100 | 281 |
| Rural | 14 | 14 | 28 | 44 | 100 | 179 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower ----------------- | 11 | 14 | 28 | 47 | 100 | 256 |
| Middle | 11 | 14 | 31 | 44 | 100 | 209 |
| Upper ------------------ | 15 | 16 | 24 | 45 | 100 | 179 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school ----------- | 1.7 | 15 | 27 | 41 | 100 | 196 |
| High school ------------- | 10 | 14 | 28 | 48 | 100 | 361 |
| College ----------------- | 9 | 17 | 30 | 44 | 100 | 89 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only ---------- | 11 | 30 | 16 | 43 | 100 | 63 |
|  | 16 | 11 | 25 | 48 | 100 | 187 |
| Both age groups -------- | 13 | 20 | 32 | 35 | 100 | 111 |
| No children ------------ | 10 | 11 | 31 | 48 | 100 | 288 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 --------.------- | 8 | 25 | 23 | 44 | 100 | 114 |
| $30-39$----------------- | 14 | 14 | 30 | 42 | 100 | 157 |
| 40-49-----------------1-1 | 13 | 12 | 23 | 52 | 100 | 160 |
| $50-59$----------------- | 13 | 13 | 30 | 44 | 100 | 107 |
| 60 or over -------------- | 12 | 10 | 35 | 43 | 100 | 111 |

Table 10．－－Frequency of use of pecans among users of pecans

|  | Every week or two | About once or twice a month | Less than once a month，but more than 3 times a year | 3 times <br> a year <br> or less | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
|  | 17 | 20 | 26 | 37 | 100 | 1，690 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan－－－－－－－－－－ | 13 | 20 | 29 | 38 | 100 | 519 |
|  | 20 | 21 | 24 | 35 | 100 | 760 |
| Rural－－－ッロー－ー－ー－ー－ー－－－ | 16 | 19 | 26 | 39 | 100 | 411 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12 | 16 | 26 | 46 | 100 | 585 |
| Middle－－－ッ－ー－ー－ー－－－－－－ | 15 | 20 | 29 | 36 | 100 | 574 |
| Upper－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 22 | 24 | 24 | 30 | 100 | 512 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school－－－－－－－－ | 18 | 16 | 22 | 44 | 100 | 479 |
| High school－－－－－－－－－－－ | 15 | 21 | 27 | 37 | 100 | 919 |
| College－－－－－－－－－－－－－0－ | 20 | 25 | 29 | 26 | 100 | 286 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5，only | 21 | 23 | 14 | 42 | 100 | 168 |
| $5-16, \text { only }--=---=-$ | 17 | 21 | 26 | 36 | 100 | 462 |
| Both age groups＝－－－－－－ | 14 | 20 | 26 | 40 | 100 | 265 |
| No children－－－－－－－－－－－ | 17 | 19 | 28 | 36 | 100 | 795 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under $30-\infty--\infty=-\infty=-=-$ | 15 | 25 | 21 | 39 | 100 | 303 |
| 30－39－－－－－－－－－－－－－－0－ | 16 | 19 | 27 | 38 | 100 | 397 |
| 40－49－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 21 | 21 | 23 | 35 | 100 | 429 |
| 50－59－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 18 | 18 | 26 | 38 | 100 | 293 |
| 60 or over $-=-\infty-\infty-\infty$ | 13 | 16 | 33 | 38 | 100 | 267 |

Table ll.--Frequency of use of filberts among users of filberts

|  | Every week or two | About once or twice a month | Less than once a month, but more than 3 times a year | 3 times <br> a year or less | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total | 6 | 8 | 18 | 68 | 100 | 638 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan --------- | 6 | 11 | 24 | 59 | 100 | 231 |
| Urban ---------------- | 7 | 8 | 15 | 70 | 100 | 272 |
| Rural ---------------- | 4 | 6 | 15 | 75 | 100 | 135 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower ---------------- | 4 | 8 | 18 | 70 | 100 | 195 |
| Middle --------------- | 5 | 7 | 19 | 69 | 100 | 239 |
| Upper ---------------- | 9 | 10 | 17 | 64 | 100 | 200 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school --------- | 7 | 8 | 15 | 70 | 100 | 182 |
| High school ---------- | 6 | 8 | 19 | 67 | 100 | 352 |
| College -------------- | 3 | 9 | 18 | 70 | 100 | 97 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only ------- | 4 | 18 |  | 69 | 100 | 56 |
| $5-16$, only --------- | 7 | 4 | 18 | 71 | 100 | 193 |
| Both age groups ------ | 2 | 11 | 20 | 67 | 100 | 112 |
| No children ---------- | 7 | 8 | 19 | 66 | 100 | 277 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 ------------- | 4 |  | 13 | 74 | 100 | 111 |
| $30-39-------------$ | 4 | 10 | 19 | 67 | 100 | 167 |
| 40-49-------------- | 6 | 6 | 18 | 70 | 100 | 165 |
|  | 10 | $6$ | 18 | 66 | $100$ | 99 |
| 60 or over ----------- | 7 | 9 | 20 | 64 | 100 | 96 |

Table l2.--Frequency of use of cashew nuts among users of cashew nuts

|  | Every week or two | About once or twice a month | Less than once a month, but more than 3 times a year | 3 times <br> a year <br> or less | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total | 15 | 24 | 27 | 34 | 100 | 1,390 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan ----------- | 15 | 28 | 27 | 30 | 100 | 544 |
|  | 17 | 22 | 27 | 34 | 100 | 610 |
|  | 13 | 23 | 26 | 38 | 100 | 236 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12 | 21 | 25 | 42 | 100 | 361 |
| Middle ----------------- | 16 | 24 | 28 | 32 | 100 | 553 |
| Upper ------------------- | 16 | 27 | 27 | 30 | 100 | 463 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school ----------- | 17 | 21 | 23 | 39 | 100 | 306 |
| High school ------------- | 14 | 25 | 27 | 34 | 100 | 801 |
| College ---------------- | 16 | 26 | 31 | 27 | 100 | 274 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only --me------ | 15 | 33 | 21 | 31 | 100 | 144 |
| $5-16$, only -----me----- | 17 | 25 | 26 | 32 | 100 | 399 |
| Both age groups --------0 | 15 | 24 | 28 | 33 | 100 | 228 |
| No children $-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty$ | 14 | 22 | 28 | 36 | 100 | 619 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under $30-\infty-\infty-\infty$ | 14 | 29 | 24 | 33 | 100 |  |
|  | 16 | 22 | 28 | 34 | 100 | 356 |
|  | 17 | 26 | 27 | 30 | 100 | 339 |
|  | $18$ | $23$ | $25$ | 34 | $100$ | $221$ |
| 60 or over | 8 | 19 | 31 | 42 | 100 | 194 |

Table 13．－－Frequency of use of mixed nuts among users of mixed nuts

|  | Every week or two | About once or twice a month | Less than once a month，but more than 3 times a year | 3 times <br> a year <br> or less | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total－－－ー－ーーーーーーーーーーーーーー－ | 9 | 15 | 22 | 54 | 100 | 1，903 |
| Cormunity size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan－－－－－－－－－－－ | 11 | 17 | 26 | 46 | 100 | 705 |
| Urban－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 11 | 14 | 21 | 54 | 100 | 785 |
| Rural－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 6 | 14 | 18 | 62 | 100 | 413 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 | 11 | 15 | 66 | 100 | 576 |
| Middle－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 8 | 15 | 27 | 50 | 100 | 706 |
| Upper－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 12 | 19 | 21 | 48 | 100 | 597 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school－－－ヘ－ー－ー－－ | 9 | 13 | 17 | 61 | 100 | 506 |
| High school－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 9 | 15 | 22 | 54 | 100 | 1，061 |
| College－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 11 | 17 | 26 | 46 | 100 | 325 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5，only－－－－－－－－－－ | 12 | 14 | 20 | 54 | 100 | 197 |
| $5-16$ ，only－－－－－－－－－－－ | 9 | 15 | 20 | 56 | 100 | 555 |
| Both age groups－－－－－－－－－ | 8 | 17 | 23 | 52 | 100 | 318 |
| No children－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 10 | 14 | 22 | 54 | 100 | 833 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 11 | 18 | 21 | 50 | 100 | 369 |
| $30-39$－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 10 | 15 | 22 | 53 | 100 | 504 |
| $40-49$－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 11 | 16 | 22 | 51 | 100 | 468 |
| 50－59－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 8 | 13 | 22 | 57 | 100 | 302 |
| 60 or over－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 5 | 11 | 20 | 64 | 100 | 200 |

Table 14.--Reasons for nonuse of salted or roasted peanuts among nonusers of each

Health reasons
Have specific physical problem (ulcers, allergy, eczema, false teeth, etc.)
Nuts are hard to digest
They are too fattening
Preference reasons
Don't like them (no reason specified)

| Salted peanuts | Roasted peanuts |
| :---: | :---: |
| Percent 1/ | Percent 1/ |
|  |  |
| 34 | 17 |
| 14 | 8 |
| 7 | 3 |
|  |  |
| 16 | 15 |
| 11 | 8 |
| -- | 26 |
| 5 | 4 |
|  | 5 |
| 4 | 3 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 5 | 7 |
| 6 | 5 |
| 5 | 1,800 |
| 939 |  |

1/ Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents gave more than 1 reason.
2) Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 15.--Reasons for not using peanuts or tree nuts frequently, among moderate or infrequent users of each l/


1/ Those who had used the nut less often than every week or 2 in the preceding 12 months.

Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents gave more than 1 reason.

3/ Less than 0.5 percent.
Table 16.--Reasons for nonuse of tree nuts

| Health reasons | Homemakers who used at least one tree nut, and gave reasons for |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Homemakers who used no tree nuts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Each nut not used |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tree nuts generally |  |
|  | Almonds | English walnuts | Black walnuts | Pecans | Filberts | Cashews | Mixed nuts |  |  |
|  | Percent | Percent ${ }^{\text {l/ }}$ | Percent $1 /$ | Percent ${ }^{\text {I/ }}$ | Percent $1 /$ | Percent ${ }^{1 /}$ | Percent | Percent $1 /$ | Percent $1 /$ |
| Have specific physical problem (ulcers, allergy, eczema, false teeth, etc.) <br> Nuts are hard to digest $=-\infty$ <br> They are too fattening $=\infty \infty$ <br> They're hard to chew $-\infty-\infty$ <br> They're too rich <br> Preference reasons <br> We don't like them (no reason specified) $\qquad$ Dislike the taste $-\infty-\infty-\infty \infty-$ Shells are a bother, messy | 5 2 1 6 1 | 9 2 1 1 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 2{ }_{2} \end{array}$ | 21 ${ }^{3} 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 7 6 1 - | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 6 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43 \\ 14 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}$ |
|  | 15 24 1 | 15 12 1 | 10 22 7 | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 9 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 15 17 1 | 12 10 -0 | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \\ 9 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ 24 \\ 2 / \end{gathered}$ |
| Don't have occasion to use them $\qquad$ | 11 | 13 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 28 | 15 |
| Other <br> Too expensive $\qquad$ | 18 | 8 | 4 | 18 | 6 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 13 |
| Never tried them; never think of them | 8 | 7 | 13 | 6 | 20 | 15 | 9 | 11 | 5 |
| Not available here $-\infty-\infty \times \infty$ | 4 | 4 | 21 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 3 |
| Miscellaneous =--moma | 8 | 22 | 16 | 25 | 12 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 |
| Don't know, no answer ->>om | 7 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 4 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of cases mo--mom | 681 | 157 | 1,014 | 357 | 959 | 51 |  | 1,12 |  |

Table $17 .-$ Ways in which salted peanuts were used at home in past l2 months among users of salted peanuts

|  | Snacks | Salads | Toppings | Making candy | Baking | Other cooking | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Percent } 1 /}{99}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent } 1}{11}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{17}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{18}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{19}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{4}$ | $\frac{\text { Number }}{2,146}$ |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 99 | 8 | 16 | 12 | 15 | 4 | 716 |
|  | 99 | 12 | 16 | 19 | 20 | 5 | 911 |
|  | 99 | 12 | 17 | 23 | 22 | 4 | 519 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 99 | 10 | 12 | 20 | 17 | 5 | 734 |
|  | 99 | 11 | 19 | 18 | 20 | 4 | 772 |
|  | 99 | 12 | 18 | 17 | 21 | 4 | 616 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 98 | 9 | 12 | 18 | 17 | 3 | 615 |
|  | 99 | 11 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 5 | 1,177 |
|  | 99 | 15 | 19 | 17 | 20 | 4 | 344 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only ----------------- | 98 | 11 | 19 | 22 | 23 | 6 | 241 |
| $5-16$, only ---m-----m-------- | 99 | 12 | 17 | 19 | 22 | 4 | 610 |
| Both age groups --------m--m-o- | 99 | 6 | 20 | 22 | 22 | 4 | 386 |
|  | 99 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 4 | 909 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 98 | 9 | 20 | 21 | 24 | 6 | 446 |
|  | 99 | 8 | 19 | 16 | 19 | 4 | 570 |
|  | 99 | 14 | 16 | 23 | 21 | 5 | 530 |
|  | 99 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 3 | 327 |
|  | 98 | 11 | 12 | 16 | 14 | 4 | 272 |
| Frequency of use of salted peanuts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Every week or two --m-m-m------ | 99 | 14 | 21 |  | 22 |  | 779 |
| Once or twice a month ---m-m--- | 99 | 14 | 18 | 19 | 22 | 5 | 604 |
| Less than once a month, but more than 3 times a year | 99 | 7 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 2 | 462 |
| Three times a year or less --m- | 97 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 2 | 298 |

Table 18.--Ways in which roasted peanuts were used at home in past 12 months among users of roasted

|  | Snacks | Salads | Toppings | Making candy | Baking | Other cooking | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{} 9$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{} \frac{1}{5}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{4}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{12}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{12}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{2}$ | $\frac{\text { Number }}{1,285}$ |
| Community size | 97 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 411 |
| Metropolitan | 97 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 530 |
|  | 97 | 6 | 3 | 16 | 14 | 1 | 344 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 97 | 5 | 6 | 15 | 12 | 3 | 469 |
| Middle ---------------------- | 98 | 6 | 5 | 12 | 11 | 2 | 487 |
|  | 97 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 3 | 316 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 97 | 3 | 4 | 14 | 14 | 2 | 409 |
|  | 97 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 11 | 2 | 700 |
|  | 96 | 7 | 5 | 12 | 11 | 4 | 170 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 98 |  | 5 | 13 | 9 | 1 | 137 |
| $5-16$, only $=-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty=-\infty=0$ | 97 | 4 | 3 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 389 |
|  | 99 | 6 | 5 | 13 | 15 | 2 | 259 |
|  | 96 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 12 | 3 | 500 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 97 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 10 | $2 /$ | 259 |
|  | 98 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 11 | 3 | 342 |
| 40 - 49 -----*-0-0--0-0-0-0-0-0-0 | 98 | 7 | 4 | 14 | 14 | 2 | 329 |
|  | 95 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 11 | 2 | 191 |
|  | 96 | 6 | 6 | 15 | 13 | 5 | 164 |
| Frequency of use of roasted peanuts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Every week or two -a-mememe-=--- | 99 | 8 | 7 | 16 | 13 | 3 | 308 |
| Once or twice a month ---->---m- | 97 | 8 | 8 | 14 | 14 | 3 | 229 |
| Less than once a month, but more than 3 times a year | 97 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 15 | 3 | 312 |
| Three times a year or less =---- | 95 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 432 |

[^6]Table 19.--Ways in which almonds were used at home in past l2 months among users of almonds

|  | Snacks | Salads | Toppings | Making candy | Baking | Other cooking | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent $1 /$ | Percent $1 /$ | PercentI/ | Percent I/ | Percent 1 | Percent1/ | Number |
| Total | 68 | 18 | 15 | 20 | 54 | 15 | 1,059 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan -------------0--m---- | 70 | 15 | 14 | 11 | 62 | 15 | 412 |
| Urban --------------------------m- | 68 | 19 | 15 | 24 | 55 | 19 | 454 |
| Rurel ---------------------m------ | 65 | 21 | 14 | 25 | 44 | 10 | 193 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 72 | 22 | 14 | 21 | 49 | 9 | 295 |
| Middle ---------------------------- | 69 | 13 | 15 | 22 | 52 | 14 | 408 |
| Upper ----------------------------- | 64 | 21 | 14 | 19 | 60 | 22 | 346 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 71 | 13 | 12 | 18 | 51 | 10 | 266 |
| High school ---------m------------- | 69 | 17 | 14 | 22 | 53 | 14 | 581 |
| College --------------------------- | 61 | 27 | 20 | 17 | 60 | 24 | 206 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only -------------------- | 68 | 1.9 | 12 | 12 | 52 | 16 | 96 |
| $5-16$, only ---------------------- | 71 | 19 | 16 | 24 | 57 | 27 | 284 |
| Both age groups ------------------- | 72 | 11 | 16 | 27 | 53 | 9 | 156 |
| No children ----------------------- | 65 | 20 | 14 | 17 | 53 | 16 | 523 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 -------------------------- | 75 | 17 | 15 | 22 | 45 | 9 | 178 |
| $30-39$--------------------------- | 69 | 15 | 15 | 22 | 57 | 17 | 252 |
|  | 67 | 22 | 16 | 20 | 58 | 17 | 266 |
|  | 64 | 18 | 11. | 19 | 51 | 16 | 188 |
| 60 or over | 62 | 19 | 14 | 16 | 57 | 15 | 176 |
| Frequency of use of almonds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Every week or two ----------------- | 73 | 30 | 30 | 32 | 60 | 32 | 89 |
| Once or twice a month ------------ | 69 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 62 | 22 | 153 |
| Less than once a month, but more than 3 times a year -------------Three times a year or less | 67 | 214 | 18 9 | 19 <br> 19 | $\begin{array}{r}59 \\ 49 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}274 \\ 540 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |



|  | Snacks | Salads | Toppings | Making candy | Baking | Other cooking | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{61}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{40}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{22}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{42}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{} 77$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{15}$ | $\frac{\text { Number }}{2,128}$ |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 62 | - 32 | 25 | 29 | 77 | 15 | 734 |
|  | 57 | 41 | 21 | 42 | 77 | 17 | 898 |
| Rural | 65 | 45 | 21 | 53 | 76 | 15 | 496 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 69 | 34 | 15 | 39 | 68 | 12 | 733 |
|  | 59 | 39 | 26 | 43 | 81 | 16 | 794 |
|  | 57 | 49 | 27 | 46 | 81 | 19 | 577 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 68 | 29 | 16 | 32 | 67 | 11 | 640 |
|  | 60 | 43 | 24 | 48 | 80 | 17 | 1,145 |
|  | 55 | 49 | 28 | 44 | 85 | 20 | 332 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 57 | 29 | 24 | 47 | 78 | - 14 | 221 |
|  | 65 | 44 | 25 | 48 | 80 | 15 | 592 |
| Both age groups --m-amom-m--m-0-0 | 69 | 40 | 20 | 47 | 76 | 14 | 352 |
| No children $=-=-\infty+\infty-\infty+\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty$ | 58 | 40 | 21 | 36 | 75 | 17 | 963 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under <br> 30 $\qquad$ | 65 | 31 | 21 | 47 | 73 | 12 | 384 |
| $30-39$ | 63 | 39 | 21 | 43 | 79 | 15 | 523 |
|  | 64 | 45 | 24 | 45 | 80 | 17 | 527 |
| $50-59-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty$ | 56 | 42 | 24 | 38 | 74 | 16 | 347 |
| 60 or over $=-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty$ | 58 | 42 | 20 | 37 | 77 | 17 | 346 |
| Frequency of use of English walnuts |  |  |  | 62 |  |  |  |
| Every week or two |  |  | 44 27 | 62 45 | 90 89 | 33 19 | 384 |
| Once or twice a month --m-memem-- | 55 | 46 | 27 | 45 | 89 | 19 | 384 |
| Less than once a month, but more than 3 times a year | 60 | 40 | 21 | 45 | 81 | 14 | 512 |
| Three times a year or less ---m-- | 67 | 26 | 10 | 30 | 62 | 6 | 822 |

Table 21.--Ways in which pecans were used at home in past 12 months among users of pecans

|  | Snacks | Salads | Toppings | Making candy | Baking | Other cooking | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent $1 /$ | Percent $1 /$ | Percent1/ | Percent 1 | Percent $1 /$ | Percent 1 | Number |
| Total | 69 | 34 | 25 | 42 | 74 | 15 | 1,690 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 71 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 67 | 11 | 519 |
|  | 66 | 37 | 23 | 46 | 76 | 19 | 760 |
|  | 70 | 37 | 27 | 51 | 77 | 13 | 411 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 75 | 29 | 19 | 42 | 68 | 11 | 585 |
|  | 66 | 32 | 27 | 42 | 75 | 15 | 574 |
|  | 65 | 40 | 28 | 44 | 79 | 19 | 512 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 77 | 25 | 19 | 36 | 68 | 9 | 479 |
|  | 66 | 37 | 25 | 47 | 76 | 15 | 919 |
|  | 63 | 36 | 29 | 39 | 81 | 23 | 286 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only --m-m-mom-mmm-m-m-m | 68 | 31 | 25 | 53 | 73 | 13 | 168 |
|  | 69 | 36 | 24 | 48 | 79 | 14 | 462 |
| Both age groups -------m--m-m-m-m | 76 | 28 | 21 | 47 | 76 | 14 | 265 |
|  | 66 | 34 | 25 | 35 | 71 | 15 | 795 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 73 | 27 | 22 | 48 | 69 | 12 | 303 |
|  | 70 | 30 | 26 | 45 | 75 | 17 | 397 |
|  | 68 | 40 | 25 | 44 | 79 | 15 | 430 |
|  | 68 | 38 | 26 | 37 | 74 | 15 | 293 |
|  | 64 | 32 | 23 | 37 | 71 | 14 | 267 |
| Frequency of use of pecans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Every week or two -----m-m-m-m-m- | 71 | 56 | 46 | 62 | 86 | 30 | 285 |
| Once or twice a month -----m--m-- | 67 | 46 | 32 | 53 | 83 | 21 | 338 |
| Less than once a month, but more than 3 times a year -------m---- | 67 | 30 | 20 | 41 | 75 64 | 11 | 434 627 |
| Three times a year or less m-mm- | 70 | 19 | 13 | 28 | 64 | 7 | 627 |

 $\frac{\text { Community size }}{\text { Metropolitan }}$

Metropolitan - ---0--0-0-0ッ-=-0-0
Family income group

 Education of respondent


 Children in family





 Frequency of use of filberts Once a month or more 3 -----Less than once a month, but
more than 3 times a year $-\infty-\infty-\infty$
Three times a year or less $=-\infty-\infty$ 1 $\frac{1}{3 /}$

Table 23.--Uses to which nuts were put, among homemakers who used peanuts or any one of four domestic tree nuts


1 Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents used nuts for more than 1 purpose.

Table 24.--Nuts used for each purpose, among homemakers who used peanuts or any one of four domestic tree nuts for these purposes

|  | Snacks | Baking | Making Candy | Salads | Toppings | Other cooking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent $1 /$ | Percent $1 /$ | Percent 1 | Percent $1 /$ | Percent $1 /$ | Percent1/ |
| Salted peanuts ------------ | 85 | 21 | 31 | 21 | 41 | 17 |
| Roasted peanuts ------------ | 51 | 8 | 12 | 6 | 7 | 5 |
| English walnuts ----------- | 52 | 81 | 70 | 75 | 54 | 59 |
|  | 47 | 63 | 56 | 50 | 47 | 45 |
| Almonds | 27 | 27 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 27 |
| Filberts ------------------ | 21 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| Number of cases ----an- | 2,510 | 2,025 | 1,291 | 1,130 | 878 | 559 |

Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents used nuts for more than 1 purpose.

Table 25.-~Tree nut preferred for each use among those who used more than one domestic tree nut for each purpose

|  | Snacks | Baking | Making candy | Salads | Other cooking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| English walnuts ~---->---0-0~ | 23 | 33 | 30 | 37 | 24 |
| Pecans | 30 | 23 | 29 | 28 | 19 |
| Almonds | 7 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 11 |
| Filberts | 4 | 1/ | 1 | 1/ | 3 |
| No preference | 33 | 25 | 28 | 24 | 29 |
| Depends --- | 1 | 15 | 8 | 6 | 11 |
| Not ascertained | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Total ----------- | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Number of cases ------- | 1,122 | 1,137 | 524 | 444 | 197 |

[^7]Table 26.--Reasons for preferring English walnuts and pecans for specified uses among homemakers who used more than 1 tree

| Nuts have special qualities | For snacks |  | For baking |  | For making candy |  | For salads |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | English walnuts | Pecans | English walnuts | Pecans | English walnuts | Pecans | English walnuts | Pecans |
|  | Percent 2 | Percent $2 /$ | Percent $2 /$ | Percent2/ | Percent $2 /$ | Percent $2 /$ | Percent? | Percent $2 /$ |
| We like the taste ---mo-m | 57 | 79 | 45 | 58 | 46 | 64 | 47 | 64 |
| They 're easy to shell --------s--- | 30 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 11 | $3 /$ | 10 | 2 |
|  | 8 | $3 /$ | 4 | -- | 1 | $3 /$ | 3 | 1 |
| They crumble easily -------------- | 7 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 8 |
| They're crisp, crunchy ----------- | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 1 |
| They're convenient to serve ------ | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| They look pretty $---\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty$ | 2 | -- | 6 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| They go well with other foods ---- | 1 | 3/ | 6 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 16 | 10 |
| Other qualities ------------------- | 7 | 6 | 11 | 11 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 11 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| We like them (no reason specified) | 4 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| They 're inexpensive ---m-o----0-- | 7 | 8 | 17 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 6 |
| They're usually available -------- | 2 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| The recipes call for them -------- | - | -- | 16 | 11 | ${ }^{6}$ | 3 | 9 | 3 |
| Miscellaneous --------------------- | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3/ | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Don't know, no answer ------------ | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Number of cases ---a---- | 255 | 318 | 377 | 259 | 152 | 161 | 172 | 118 |

I/ Data were obtained also about almonds and filberts, and about nuts preferred for other cooking, but there were too
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|  | Salted peanuts | Roasted peanuts | Almonds | English walnuts | Pecans | Filberts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent $1 /$ | Percent $1 /$ | Percent 1 | Percent ${ }^{\text {I／}}$ | Percent ${ }^{\text {／／}}$ | Percent 1 |
| Nuts have special qualities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| We like the taste or flavor－－－－－m－－－－ | 44 | 34 | 53 | 54 | 56 | 39 |
| They＇re convenient to serve－－－－m－a－m－ | 11 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| They＇re nourishing－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| They＇re crisp，crunchy－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| They go well with other foods－－－－－－－－－ | 1 | $2 /$ | 2 | 5 | 5 | $2 /$ |
| They look pretty－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ッ－－－－－－ | 1 | 2 | 8 | 11 | 8 | 2 |
| They＇re easy to shell－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－ | 1 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 2 |
| They crumble easily－－－－－－－－－－m－－－－－－－ | 1 | $2 /$ | $2 /$ | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| Other qualities－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 3 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 5 |
| We like them at certain times ${ }^{\text {W }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For company－－－－－ | 18 | 15 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| To serve with beverajes－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 14 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| As a change from fruit or candy－－－－－－ | 3 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 14 |
| For the holidays－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 1 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 15 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| We like them（no reason specified）－－－ | 12 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 9 |
| They＇re inexpensive－－－－－－－－－－－m－－－－－－－ | 7 | 3 | 21 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Recipes call for them－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 2 | 2 | 14 | 12 | 14 | 6 |
| We enjoy cracking shells－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－ | 12 | $2 /$ | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Miscellaneous－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 2 | 2 | $2 /$ | 3 | 3 | $2 /$ |
| Don＇t know，no answer－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 6 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 11 |
| Number of cases－－－ー－ッ－ー－ー－ー－ | 2，146 | 1，285 | 1，059 | 2，128 | 1，690 | 638 |

[^9]Table 28.--Nuts considered not suitable for salads, for baking, or for cooking by users of any nuts

|  | Salads | Baking | Cooking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent $1 /$ | Percent $1 /$ | Percent ${ }^{1 /}$ |
| Almonds --------------------------------- | 15 | 9 | 11 |
|  | 4 | 2 | 5 |
|  | 6 | 2 | 5 |
| Filberts | 27 | 24 | 23 |
| All suitable | 44 | 54 | 43 |
| Don't know - | 25 | 18 | 30 |
| Not ascertained ----------------------- | 2) | 1 | 1 |
| Number of cases ----------- | 2,760 | 2,760 | 2,760 |

1 Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents named more than 1 nut. Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 29.--Proportions of homemakers who had ever baked a pecan pie

|  | Had baked pecan pie | Had not baked pecan pie | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total ---------------------------1- | 31 | 69 | 100 | 3,085 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan - --------------- | 15 | 85 | 100 | 1,072 |
|  | 36 | 64 | 100 | 1,309 |
|  | 38 | 62 | 100 | 704 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |
| Lower --------------------- | 26 | 74 | 100 | 1,121 |
| Middle ---o--m-ome-mo------- | 29 | 71 | 100 | 1,085 |
|  | 41 | 59 | 100 | 838 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school ------------------ | 24 |  |  |  |
|  | 32 | 68 | 100 | 1,599 |
|  | 42 | 58 | 100 | 486 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only --------------- | 31 | 69 | 100 | 299 |
|  | 34 | 66 | 100 | 734 |
| Both age groups ------------- | 33 | 67 | 100 | , 448 |
|  | 28 | 72 | 100 | 1,604 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 --m-m-m------------ | 24 | 76 | 100 | 571 |
|  | 34 | 66 | 100 | 700 |
|  | 38 | 62 | 100 | 671 |
|  | 29 | 71 | 100 | 525 |
| 60 or over -----------mo---- | 26 | 74 | 100 | 617 |

Table 30.--Who ate peanuts, in families where there were children under 17 and where peanuts were used for snacks

|  | Children <br> only | Adults <br> only | Both <br> children <br> and <br> adults | Not <br> ascer- <br> tained | Total | Cases |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total -----.------- | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{3}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{13}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{83}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{1}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{100}$ | $\frac{\text { Number }}{1,268}$ |
| Children in family |  | 49 | 48 | 2 | 100 | 243 |
| Under 5, only ---- | 1 | 49 | 92 | 1 | 100 | 627 |
| $5-16$, only ----- | 4 | 3 | 9 | 91 | 1 | 100 |
| Both age groups -- | 2 | 6 | 398 |  |  |  |

Table 3l.--Who ate tree nuts, in families where there were children under 17 and where tree nuts were used for snacks

|  | Children only | Adults only | Both children and adults | Not ascertained | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total | 1 | 13 | 84 | 2 | 100 | 1,105 |
| $\frac{\text { Children in family }}{\text { Under } 5, \text { only }---}$ | 1 | 46 | 49 | 4 | 100 | 210 |
| $5-16$, only ----- | 1 | 4 | 93 | 2 | 100 | 554 |
| Both age groups -- | 1 | 6 | 91 | 2 | 100 | 341 |

Table 32.--Time of year peanuts were used more, among users of peanuts

|  | Winter | Fall | Thanks- <br> giving and Christmas | Summer | Spring |  | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent ${ }^{1 /}$ | Percent $1 /$ | Percent $1 /$ | Percent $1 /$ | Percent $1 /$ | Percent $1 /$ | Number |
| Total ----------------------- | 26 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 64 | 2,273 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan ------------ | 22 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 71 | 766 |
| Urban -----------m------- | 27 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 65 | 961 |
| Rural ------------------- | 28 | 12 | 10 | 5 | 2/ | 58 | 546 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower ----------m-n----- | 27 | 12 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 61 | 802 |
| Midale | 26 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 64 | 810 |
| Upper --------m--------- | 25 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 67 | 635 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school ---------- | 26 | 10 | 9 |  | 1 | 63 | 675 |
| High school -------->--- | 25 | 10 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 65 | 1,226 |
| College ---m---m-------- | 30 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 63 | 362 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only ---------- | 21 | 8 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 65 | 250 |
| $5-16$, only --mome---- | 27 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 65 | 638 |
| Both age groups ---->--- | 23 | 11 | 12 | 3 | 2/ | 63 | 400 |
| No children ------------ | 29 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 63 | 985 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 | 22 | 8 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 64 | 467 |
| $30-39$---n--m---------- | 26 | 10 |  |  | 2/ | 65 | 592 |
| $40-49$ | 27 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 64 | 551 |
| $50-59$ | 29 | 10 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 62 | 349 |
| 60 or over ---m---------- | 26 | 9 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 63 | 314 |

1/ Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents named more than 1 time of year. Less than 0.5 percent.
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Table 33.--Time of year tree nuts were used more, among users of tree nuts

|  | Winter | Fall | Thanksgiving and Christmas | Surmer | Spring | Use unvaried | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent ${ }^{\text {? }}$ | Percent $1 /$ | Percent $1 /$ | Percent ${ }^{1 /}$ | Percent ${ }^{1 /}$ | Percent ${ }^{1 /}$ | Number |
| Total ----------------- | 54 | 16 | 33 | 2/ | 2 | 26 | 2,651 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan -------- | 48 | 17 | 35 | $2 /$ | 3 | 29 | 918 |
| Urban -------.------.- | 56 | 16 | 33 | $\frac{2}{2}$ | 2 | 27 | 1,127 |
| Rural --------------- | 57 | 17 | 32 | 2/ | 1 | 23 | 606 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower -------------- | 56 | 13 | 37 | $2 /$ | 2 | 23 | 939 |
| Middle -------------- | 54 | 20 | 31 | $\frac{2}{2 /}$ | 3 | 27 | 947 |
| Upper --------------- | 53 | 17 | 30 | 2/ | 1 | 29 | 733 |
| $\frac{\text { Education of respondent }}{\text { Grade school }}$ | 53 | 14 | 37 | 1 | 2 | 26 | 805 |
| High school -------.- | 55 | 17 | 34 | $2 /$ | 2 | 25 | 1,406 |
| College ------------- | 54 | 18 | 26 | 2/ | 1 | 31 | 422 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only ------ | 50 | 13 | 35 | $2 /$ | 2 | 29 | 271 |
| 5-16, only -------- | 59 | 20 | 32 | 1 | 2 | 22 | 694 |
| Both age groups ----- | 56 | 16 | 36 | $\frac{2}{1}$ | 2 | 23 | 425 |
| No children --------- | 52 | 15 | 32 | 2/ | 2 | 29 | 1,260 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 ----------- | 51 | 16 | 36 | 2/ | 2 | 29 | 510 |
|  | 57 | 17 | 35 | - | 2 | 23 | 628 |
|  | 55 | 19 | 29 | ${ }^{1}$ | 2 | 26 | 627 |
| $50-59$-------------------- 60 or over | 55 51 | 16 14 | 35 32 | 2/ | 3 2 | 26 30 | 437 448 |

1/ Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents named more than 1 time of year.
2) Less than 0.5 percent.
Table 34.--Reasons for seasonal use of peanuts or tree nuts, among homemakers who used them more during certain times of the year 1/

|  | Peanuts |  |  | Tree nuts |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Seasons in which used more |  |  | Seasons in which used more |  |  |
|  | Fall | $\begin{gathered} \text { Holidays } \\ 2 / \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Winter | Fall | $\begin{gathered} \text { Holidays } \\ 2 \int \end{gathered}$ | Winter |
|  | Percent $3 /$ | Percent3/ | Percent $3 /$ | Percent $3 /$ | Percent $3 /$ | Percent 3 |
| Nuts are traditional for holidays - | 13 | 50 | 22 | 31 | 50 | 37 |
| Do more baking and cooking then --- | 8 | 16 | 11 | 35 | 27 | 34 |
| We do more entertaining then and serve nuts $\qquad$ | 11 | 29 | 16 | 17 | 22 | 17 |
| Nuts are fresher and keep better <br> then | 25 | 3 | 12 | 12 | 2 | 7 |
| We're home more and like to nibble | 23 | 3 | 27 | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| Nuts are in good supply then ------ | 14 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 9 |
| Need heavier foods in cooler weather | 10 | 3 | 13 | 8 | 1 | 8 |
| Like them then (no reason speci- <br> fied) $\qquad$ | 5 | 4/1 | 6 | 2 | 4/1 | 2 |
|  | 3 4 | 1 5 | 2 2 | 2 2 | $\frac{1}{6}$ | 2 |
| Number of cases ---------- | 223 | 181 | 579 | 435 | 866 | 1,409 |

[^10]Table 35.--Proportions of users of any nuts who thought peanuts were healthful

Table 36.--Proportions of users of any nuts who thought tree nuts were healthful

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Health- } \\ & \text { ful } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not } \\ & \text { health- } \\ & \text { ful } \end{aligned}$ | Too many not good | ```Good in some ways, not others``` | Other qualifications | Don't <br> know | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total | 63 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 24 | 100 | 2,760 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan ---------- | 64 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 20 | 100 | 946 |
| Urban ----- | 65 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 20 | 100 | 1,168 |
| Rural | 60 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 29 | 100 | 646 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower ----------- | 57 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 30 | 100 | 1,018 |
| Middle | 67 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 21 | 100 | 965 |
| Upper | 67 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 16 | 100 | 745 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school | 58 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 29 | 100 | 863 |
| High school ---------- | 63 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 24 | 100 | 1,447 |
| College -------------- | 75 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 100 | 432 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only ---.--- | 56 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 27 | 100 | 283 |
| $5-16$, only ---------- | 69 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 19 | 100 | 718 |
| Both age groups ------ | 64 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 25 | 100 | 450 |
| No children -----s---- | 61 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 24 | 100 | 1,309 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 -----=------1 | 60 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 27 | 100 | 532 |
| $30-39-\infty-\infty-\infty-0-\infty-1$ | 67 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 20 | 100 | 666 |
| 40-49--------------1 | 65 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 23 | 100 | 640 |
| 50-59-------------- | 62 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 23 | 100 | 457 |
| 60 or over ------------ | 58 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 26 | 100 | 464 |

Table 37.--Reasons for feeling that nuts were healthful, among users who thought nuts were healthful

|  | Peanuts | Tree nuts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent $1 /$ | Percent 1/ |
| Nutritious; have good food value -------- | 34 | 34 |
| Contain proteins ------- | 23 | 23 |
| Contain vitamins | 21 | 21 |
| They are rich in fat, oils -------------- | 21 | 20 |
| They add weight; are fattening ---------- | 10 | 8 |
| They are filling ---------m-a-m-m-n-m---- | 6 | 5 |
|  | 4 | 5 |
|  | 4 | 3 |
| Contain minerals, iron ------------------- | 3 | 2 |
| Contain less sugar than most snacks ----- | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2 | 3 |
| They make the children chew ------------- | 2 | 1 |
| Not harmful; don't make us sick --------- | 7 | 7 |
| Miscellaneous reasons | 6 | 4 |
| Don't know, no answer ----------------------- | 7 | 8 |
| Number of cases ----------0--- | 1,772 | 1,755 |

1/ Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents gave more than 1 reason.

Table 38.--Reasons for feeling that nuts were not healthful, among users of nuts who thought they were not healthful

|  | Peanuts | Tree nuts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent 1/ | Percent 1/ |
| Hard to digest ----------------------------- | 57 | 42 |
| Too fattening | 16 | 17 |
| Constipating ------------------------------ | 16 | 12 |
| Contain too much oil -------------------- | 10 | 11 |
| Too rich, too heavy | 9 | 15 |
| Bad for the skin -- | 3 | 4 |
| Not good for the teeth ------------------- | 3 | 4 |
| Have specific physical problem ----so---0 | 3 | 3 |
| Too salty ---------------------------------- | 3 | 1 |
| Too hard to chew | 3 | 1 |
| No nutritional value | 1 | 7 |
| Miscellaneous | 4 | 2 |
| Don't know, no answer -------------------- | 3 | 6 |
| Number of cases ----------- | 246 | 151 |

1/ Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents gave more than 1 reason.

Table 39.--Proportions of users of any nuts who thought peanuts were too expensive to serve regularly

|  | Too expensive | Not too expensive | Don't <br> know | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
|  | 17 | 77 | 6 | 100 | 2,760 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13 | 81 | 6 | 100 | 946 |
|  | 18 | 75 | 7 | 100 | 1,168 |
|  | 18 | 76 | 6 | 100 | 646 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 21 | 72 | 7 | 100 | 1,018 |
|  | 15 | 80 | 5 | 100 | 965 |
|  | 10 | 84 | 6 | 100 | 745 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school --mo-m-n-ammon | 21 | 71 | 8 | 100 | 863 |
|  | 15 | 80 | 5 | 100 | 1,447 |
|  | 13 | 81 | 6 | 100 | 432 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 -anam-a-m-an-m---m- | 18 | 77 | 5 | 100 | 532 |
|  | 19 | 77 | 4 | 100 | 666 |
|  | 15 | 80 | 5 | 100 | 641 |
|  | 14 | 80 | 6 | 100 | 457 |
| 60 or over | 15 | 74 | 11 | 100 | 464 |

Table 40.--Proportions of users of any nuts who thought tree nuts were too expensive to serve regularly

|  | Too expensive | Not too expensive | Some are some are not | Don't know | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
|  | 42 | 38 | 14 | 6 | 100 | 2,760 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 36 | 44 | 15 | 5 | 100 | 946 |
| Urban | 43 | 38 | 13 | 6 | 100 | 1,168 |
|  | 45 | 35 | 14 | 6 | 100 | 646 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 49 | 32 | 11 | 8 | 100 | 1,018 |
|  | 41 | 39 | 16 | 4 | 100 | 965 |
|  | 34 | 46 | 16 | 4 | 100 | 745 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school ----m-------mes | 47 | 35 | 12 | 7 | 100 | 863 |
| High school -m-nmosamem-a-mos | 41 | 39 | 15 | 6 | 100 | 1,447 |
|  | - 38 | 44 | 15 | 4 | 100 | 432 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 ---m-x-m-mom-------- | 47 | 28 | 18 | 7 | 100 | 532 |
|  | 48 | 34 | 13 | 5 | 100 | 666 |
|  | 40 | 41 | 14 | 4 | 100 | 641 |
|  | 37 | 47 | 12 | 5 | 100 | 457 |
| 60 or over m--s-monmenosmemem | 36 | 43 | 12 | 9 | 100 | 464 |

Table 4l.--Expensiveness of peanuts compared to tree nuts, among nut users who felt both peanuts and tree nuts were too expensive to serve regularly

|  | Pric | f peanuts | mpared to tree |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Expensive | Not expensive | Expensive compared to some, not others | Don't know | Total | Cases |
| Total | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{25}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{56}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{6}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{13}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{100}$ | $\frac{\text { Number }}{380}$ |

Table 42.--Availability of peanuts in the stores where peanut users usually shopped

|  | Usually available | Not usually available | Don't <br> know | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total --------------- | 95 | 3 | 2 | 100 | 2,273 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan ----- | 95 | 3 | 2 | 100 | 766 |
| Urban ---m-------- | 95 | 2 | 3 | 100 | 961 |
| Rural --m-n------- | 94 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 546 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower ------------- | 93 | 3 | 4 | 100 | 802 |
| Middle ------------ | 95 | 3 | 2 | 100 | 810 |
| Upper ------------- | 97 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 635 |

Table 43.--Availability of tree nuts in stores where tree nut users usually shopped for food

|  | Usually available | Not usually available | Some are some are not | Don't <br> know | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total | 87 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 100 | 2,651 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan ---------- | 90 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 100 | 918 |
| Urban ----m------m--- | 90 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 100 | 1,127 |
|  | 82 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 100 | 606 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 85 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 100 | 939 |
|  | 88 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 100 | 947 |
|  | 89 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 100 | 733 |

Table $44 .-$ Where peanuts had been purchased by users of peanuts

|  | Food shop, market, delicatessen | Special nut or candy shop | Drugstore | Five-and-ten-cent, department store | All others | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent ${ }^{1 /}$ | Percent 1 | Percent | Percent ${ }^{30}$ | Percent $1 /$ | Number |
| Total | 86 | 20 | 17 | 30 |  | 2,273 |
| $\frac{\text { Community size }}{\text { Metropolitan }}$ | 84 | 30 | 14 | 24 | 4 | 766 |
| Urban | 84 | 20 | 20 | 32 | 4 | 961 |
| Rural --me-e | 89 | 12 | 16 | 32 | 4 | 546 |
| Farnily income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower --men-o-s-o-m--- | 85 | 13 | 15 | 35 | 4 | 802 |
| Middle | 87 | 24 | 17 | 28 | 4 | 810 |
| Upper ----m----------- | 87 | 24 | 19 | 26 | 4 | 635 |

Table 45.--Where tree nuts had been purchased by users of tree nuts

| - | ```Food shop, market, delica- tessen``` | Special nut or candy shop | Drug- <br> store | Five-and-ten-cent, department store | All <br> others | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total --------------- | $\frac{\text { Percent } 1 /}{92}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent } 1 /}{15}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{10}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{15}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{}{ }^{1 /}$ | $\frac{\text { Number }}{2,651}$ |
| Community size <br> Metropolitan -.-.-. | 90 | 25 | 8 | 14 | 3 | 918 |
| Urban - | 91 | 15 | 13 | 18 | 2 | 1,127 |
| Rural ------------- | 95 | 9 | 9 | 13 | 4 | 606 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower ------------------- |  |  |  |  |  | 939 |
| Middle ------------- | 92 | 17 | 11 | 15 | 4 | 947 |
| Upper -------------- | 92 | 22 | 13 | 15 | 4 | 733 |

1/ Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents named more than 1 place at which they bought tree nuts.

Table 46.--Where peanuts were purchased most often by users of peanuts

|  | ```Food shop, market, delica- tessen``` | Special <br> nut or <br> candy <br> shop | Drus- <br> store | Five-and-ten-cent, department store | All <br> others | Not ascertained | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total --a----------- | 74 | 7 | 5 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 2,273 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan ----- | 71 | 12 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 766 |
| Urban ------------ | 71 | 8 | 5 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 961 |
| Rural ------------ | 77 | 3 | 4 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 100 | 546 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower ------------ | 71 | 4 | 5 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 802 |
| Middle ----------- | 74 | 9 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 810 |
| Upper ------------ | 76 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 635 |

Table 47.--Where tree nuts were purchased most often by users of tree nuts

|  | Food shop, market, delicatessen | Special nut or candy shop | Drugstore | ```Five-and- ten-cent, department store``` | All <br> others | Not ascertained | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total | 85 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 2,651 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan ------a- | 80 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 918 |
| Urban ----- | 84 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 1,127 |
| Rural --------------- | 89 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100 | 606 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower --------------- | 88 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 939 |
| Middle -------------- | 83 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 947 |
| Upper --------------- | 83 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 733 |


|  | Bought |  | Bought both ways: last time -- |  | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { In } \\ \text { shells } \end{gathered}$ | Shelled | $\begin{gathered} \text { In } \\ \text { shells } \end{gathered}$ | Shelled |  |  |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total | 37 | 39 | 9 | 15 | 100 | 1,059 |
| Frequency of use of almonds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Every week or two ----------------- | 14 | 51 | 17 | 18 | 100 | 89 |
| About once or twice a month ------- | 22 | 45 | 11 | 22 | 100 | 153 |
| Less than once a month, but more than 3 times a year $\qquad$ | 29 | 46 | 9 | 16 | 100 | 247 |
| Three times a year or less -------- | 49 | 31 | 8 | 12 | 100 | 540 |

Table 50.-ways in which pecan users had bought pecans in preceding 12 months: In shells or shelled


Table 51.-ways in which filbert users had bought filberts in preceding l2 months: In shells or shelled


Table 52.--Ways in which almond users preferred almonds: In shells or shelled

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { In } \\ \text { shells } \end{gathered}$ | Shelled | Depends | No preference | Not ascertained | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total | 36 | 49 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 100 | 1,059 |
| Frequency of use of almonds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Every week or two ---------------- | 28 | 62 | 8 | 2 | -- | 100 | 89 |
| About once or twice a month ----- | 26 | 62 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 100 | 153 |
| Less than once a month, but more than 3 times a year | 31 | 52 | 8 | 9 | -- | 100 | 274 |
| Three times a year or less ------ | 42 | 42 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 100 | 540 |
| Had bought almonds: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In shells ------------------------ | 80 | 8 | 2 |  | 1 | 100 | 391 |
| Shelled ----------------------------- | 4 | 90 | 2 | 4 | -- | 100 | 411 |
| Both ways --------------------------- | 20 | 46 | 20 | 14 | -- | 100 | 253 |

Table 53.--Ways in which English walnut users preferred English walnuts: In shells or shelled

|  | In <br> shells | Shelled | Depends | No pref: erence | Not ascertained | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total -------------------------------- | 54 | 31 | 8 | 7 | $1 /$ | 100 | 2,128 |
| Frequency of use of English walnuts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| About once or twice a month ---- | 40 | 39 | 13 | 8 | $1 /$ | 100 | 384 |
| Less than once a month, but more than 3 times a year Three times a year or less --- | 54 69 | 31 |  | 6 | $1 / 1$ | 100 100 | 512 822 |
| Three times a year or less Had bought English walnuts: | 69 | 21 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 100 | 822 |
|  | 87 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 100 | 1,096 |
|  | 3 | 88 | 5 | 4 | -- | 100 | 418 |
|  | 29 | 36 | 22 | 13 | -- | 100 | 611 |

1/ Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 54.--Ways in which pecan users preferred pecans: In shells or shelled

|  | In shells | Shelled | Depends | No preference | Not ascertained | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total | 38 | 46 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 100 | 1,690 |
| Frequency of use of pecans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Every week or two ---------------- | 29 | 55 | 8 | 8 | $1 /$ | 100 | 285 |
| About once or twice a month ------ | 27 | 55 | 9 | 9 | $1 /$ | 100 | 338 |
| Less than once a month, but more than 3 times a year | 37 | 47 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 100 | 434 |
| Three times a year or less Had bought pecans: | 50 | 36 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 100 | 627 |
| In shells -----------------------1-2- | 82 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 100 | 661 |
| Shelled | 4 | 89 | 3 | 4 | -- | 100 | 578 |
| Both ways ----------------------------- | 19 | 47 | 21 | 13 | -- | 100 | 447 |

Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 55.--Ways in which filbert users preferred filberts: In shells or shelled

|  | In shells | Shelled | Depends | No. preference |  | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total | 64 | 21 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 100 | 638 |
| Frequency of use of filberts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Once a month or more 1/--------- | 53 | 35 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 100 | 88 |
| Less than once a month, but more than 3 times a year | 58 | 27 | 6 | 9 | -- | 100 | 114 |
| Three times a year or less ------- | 68 | 17 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 100 | 430 |
| Had bought filberts: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In shells ------------------------- | 85 |  |  | 8 | 1 | 100 |  |
| Shelled ----------------------------- |  | 94 | 3 | 3 | -- | 100 | 98 |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2/66 |

1/ "Every week or two" and "Once or twice a month" were combined because of the small numbers of cases.
2) Number of cases too small to show detailed tabulation.

Table 56.--Reasons for preferring tree nuts shelled, among users of each tree nut who preferred the nut shelled


1/ Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents gave more than 1 reason for preferring nuts shelled.

Table 57.--Reasons for preferring tree nuts in shells, among users of each tree nut who preferred the nut in shells


1/ Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents gave more than 1 reason for preferring nuts in shells.

Table 58.--How kernels of shelled tree nuts were preferred by users of each

Whole or half kernels -------------------------



Total ---------------------


| Users of shelled -- |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Almonds | English <br> walnuts | Pecans | Filberts |
|  | Percent | Percent | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{76}$ |
|  | 11 | 77 | 10 |
| 11 | 18 | 14 | 14 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | $1 /$ |
| 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 669 | 1,046 | 1,062 | 171 |

Table 59.--When nuts were last bought for use in the home, among users of each nut

|  | Salted peanuts | Roasted peanuts | Almonds | English walnuts | Pecans | Filberts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| 2 weeks ago or less ------------ | 54 | 33 | 16 | 27 | 23 | 10 |
| Over 2 weeks to 1 month ago --- | 21 | 16 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 8 |
| Over 1 to 3 months ago --------- | 13 | 19 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 8 |
| Over 3 to 6 months ago --------- | 5 | 12 | 12 | 9 | 11 | 9 |
| Over 6 to 9 months ago -------- | 2 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 11 |
| Over 9 months ago ------------- | 3 | 11 | 30 | 25 | 23 | 47 |
| Don't know ------------------------- | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 7 |
| Total ------------ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Number of cases ---------- | 2,146 | 1,285 | 1,059 | 2,128 | 1,690 | 638 |

Table 60.--Amount of nuts last bought for use in the home, among users of each nut

|  | Salted peanuts | Rossted peanuts | Almonds | English walnuts | Pecans | Filberts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| 1/4 pound or less ---s-s-s----- | 27 | 14 | 18 | 9 | 15 | 12 |
| Over 1/4 to $1 / 2$ pound --------- | 36 | 18 | 26 | 17 | 25 | 19 |
| Over $1 / 2$ to 1 pound ------------ | 30 | 39 | 37 | 43 | 34 | 46 |
| Over 1 to 2 pounds m-s-s-m-s--- | 6 | 17 | 13 | 20 | 14 | 15 |
|  | 1 | 11 | 5 | 11 | 12 | 6 |
| Don't know --------------m-e-s | $1 /$ | 1 | 1 | $1 /$ | $1 /$ | 2 |
| Total ----s-o--m-n | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Number of cases ------0-0 | 2,146 | 1,285 | 1,059 | 2,128 | 1,690 | 638 |

1 Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 6l.--Size of container in which nuts were last bought, among users of each nut

|  | Salted peanuts | Roasted peanuts | Almonds | English walnuts | Pecans | Filberts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loose (paper bag) ---o--->-0-0-0 | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{28}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{45}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{27}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{28}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{30}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{34}$ |
| 1/4 pound or less --------------- | 22 | 9 | 15 | 8 | 13 | 7 |
| Over $1 / 4$ to $1 / 2$ pound $-----=-\infty-$ | 29 | 11 | 20 | 16 | 20 | 13 |
| Over $1 / 2$ to 1 pound ---m-------- | 18 | 24 | 27 | 33 | 24 | 31 |
| Over 1 to 2 pounds | 2 | 8 | 8 | 11 | 8 | 9 |
| Over 2 pounds =--- | $1 /$ | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Don't know, no answer | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Total ------------- | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Number of cases | 2,146 | 1,285 | 1,059 | 2,128 | 1,690 | 638 |

[^11]Table 62.--Types of containers in which salted peanuts or shelled tree nuts had been purchased in the preceding 12 months, among users of each

|  | Salted peanuts | Shelled tree nuts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent $1 /$ | Percent $1 /$ |
| Cellophane bag ----------------- | 63 | 74 |
| Vacuum can ---------------------- | 53 | 44 |
| Paper bag (loose) | 46 | 32 |
| Box | 12 | 10 |
| Glass jar ------------------------ | 7 | 6 |
| Number of cases --------- | 2,146 | 1,598 |

1/ Percentages add to more than 100 because some homemakers had bought nuts in more than 1 type of container.

Table 63.--Opinions of containers, among homemakers who had purchased salted peanuts or shelled tree nuts in each type of container

|  | Salted peanuts |  |  | Purchasers of container | Shelled tree nuts |  |  | ```Pur- chasers of con- tainer``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Had some objection | Had no objec= tion | Total |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Had some } \\ & \text { objec- } \\ & \text { tion } \end{aligned}$ | Had no objection | Total |  |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Cellophane bag =--- | 11 | 89 | 100 | 1,300 | 11 | 89 | 100 | 1,190 |
| Vacuum ---------- | 5 | 95 | 100 | 1,181 | 6 | 94 | 100 | 695 |
| Paper bag (loose) = | 12 | 88 | 100 | 974 | 7 | 93 | 100 | 521 |
| Box ---a----------- | 11 | 89 | 100 | 275 | 9 | 91 | 100 | 176 |
| Glass jar --------- | 9 | 91 | 100 | 140 | 4 | 96 | 100 | 90 |

Table 64.--Reasons for dissatisfaction with packaging of salted peanuts and shelled tree nuts among homemakers who expressed dissatisfaction l/


Table 65.--Use of peanut butter at home in the past 12 months

|  | Used peanut butter | Did not use peanut butter | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total ---------------------- | 84 | 16 | 100 | 3,085 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan ------------ | 78 | 22 | 100 | 1,072 |
| Urban ------------------- | 85 | 15 | 100 | 1,309 |
| Rural -------------------- | 88 | 12 | 100 | 704 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |
| Lower ------------------- | 80 | 20 | 100 | 1,121 |
| Middle | 87 | 13 | 100 | 1,085 |
|  | 87 | 13 | 100 | 838 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school ------0.---- | 78 | 22 | 100 | 978 |
| High school ------------- | 88 | 12 | 100 | 1,599 |
| College ------------------ | 86 | 14 | 100 | 486 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only ----------- | 92 | 8 | 100 | 299 |
| $5-15$, only ------------- | 94 | 6 | 100 | 734 |
| Both age groups --------- | 97 | 3 | 100 | 448 |
| No children ------------- | 74 | 26 | 100 | 1,604 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 ----------------- | 90 | 10 | 100 | 571 |
|  | 93 | 7 | 100 | 700 |
| $40-49$------------------ | 87 | 13 | 100 | 671 |
| $50-59$------------------- | 78 | 22 | 100 | 525 |
| 60 or over -------------- | 71 | 29 | 100 | 617 |
| Use of peanuts |  |  |  |  |
| Uses peanuts ------------ | 90 | 10 | 100 | 2,273 |
| Does not use peanuts =--- | 65 | 35 | 100 | 812 |

Table 66.--Frequency of use of peanut butter among users of peanut butter

|  | Every week or two | About once or twice a month | Less than once a month | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
|  | 68 | 15 | 17 | 100 | 2,562 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan -------- | 65 | 17 | 18 | 100 | 832 |
| Urban --------------- | 69 | 14 | 17 | 100 | 1,111 |
| Rural --------------- | 69 | 15 | 16 | 100 | 619 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 63 | 17 | 20 | 100 | 950 |
| Middle -------------- | 70 | 15 | 15 | 100 | 908 |
| Upper ---------------- | 73 | 13 | 14 | 100 | 679 |
| Education of respondont |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school ----s--- | 63 | 15 | 22 | 100 | 793 |
| High school --s-e-s-- | 71 | 15 | 14 | 100 | 1,357 |
| College ------meme-s- | 70 | 14 | 16 | 100 | 396 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only $=-\infty-\infty$ | 67 | 16 | 17 | 100 | 274 |
| $5-16$, only -------- | 81 | 11 | 8 | 100 | 697 |
| Both age groups =---- | 83 | 10 | 7 | 100 | 456 |
| No children =--------- | 55 | 19 | 26 | 100 | 1,135 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 -=--------- |  | 11 |  | 100 |  |
| $30-39$------m-m--m- | 77 | 13 | 10 | 100 | 643 |
| $40-49$------------0- | 73 | 16 | 11 | 100 | 586 |
| $50-59$ | 56 | 16 | 28 | 100 | 399 |
| 60 or over -----m-m- | 52 | 19 | 29 | 100 | 433 |

Table 67.--Reasons for nonuse of peanut butter, and reasons for not using peanut butter frequently among moderate or infrequent users

|  | Reasons for nonuse of peanut butter | Reasons for infrequent use of peanut butter $1 /$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preference reasons | Percent $2 /$ | Percent $2 /$ |
| Some members of family don't like it (no specific reasons) $\qquad$ | 19 | 19 |
| Dislike the taste ---------- | 18 | 4 |
| Sticks to roof of mouth, teeth $\qquad$ | 15 | 4 |
| It's too dry ---------------* | 6 | 4 |
| Too oily, greasy ------------ | 5 | 2 |
| Health reasons |  |  |
| Have specific physical problem | 13 | 4 |
| Hard to digest -------------- | 10 | 7 |
| It's fattening -------------- | 7 | 8 |
| Other |  |  |
| No children at home -------0- | 12 | 15 |
| We don't use it for many things | 3 | 18 |
| Too expensive --------------- | 3 | 5 |
| We eat too much of it if it's around | 1 | 12 |
| Miscellaneous --------------- | 5 | 2 |
| Don't know $=---\infty-\infty-\infty=-\infty=-0=$ | 4 | 10 |
| Number of cases ----- | 523 | 827 |

Those who use peanut butter less often than every week or two. Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents gave more than 1 reason.

Table 68.--The uses to which peanut butter was put by users of peanut butter

|  | Spread | Baking and food preparation | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent 1/ | Percent $1 /$ | Number |
| Total | 98 | 48 | 2,562 |
| Cormunity size |  |  |  |
|  | 98 | 39 | 832 |
|  | 98 | 48 | 1,111 |
|  | 98 | 54 | 619 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |
| Lower | 98 | 41 | 950 |
| Middle | 98 | 51 | 908 |
|  | 99 | 54 | 679 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |
| Grade school $=-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty=-\infty=0$ | 97 | 40 | 793 |
| High school ------------------ | 98 | 52 | 1,357 |
| College $=--\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty$ | 98 | 53 | 396 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |
|  | 99 | 46 | 274 |
| $5=16, \text { only }$ | 99 | 55 | 697 |
|  | 99 | 55 | 456 |
| No children | 96 | 41 | 1,135 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 99 | 49 | 500 |
|  | 99 | 52 | 643 |
|  | 98 | 53 | 586 |
| $50=59$ | 98 | $41$ | 399 |
| 60 or over | 96 | 39 | 433 |
| Frequency of use of peanut |  |  |  |
| butter |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| About once or twice a month -- | 98 | 41 | 381 |
| Less than once a month -m----- | 92 | 28 | 437 |

1/ Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents used peanut butter both ways.

Table 69.--Ways peanut butter was used in baking or other cooking by homemakers who used peanut butter for baking or other cooking


1/ Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents named more than $l$ way in which they used peanut butter for baking or other cooking.

Table 70.--Reasons for liking to use peanut butter, among users of peanut butter

| Good taste or flavor | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{47}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| We like it for spreads or snacks | 29 |
| It's convenient, easy to use | 23 |
| It's nourishing - | 10 |
| It's good for variety | 7 |
| It's filling | 4 |
| It spreads easily | 4 |
| It keeps well | 3 |
| It's inexpensive | 3 |
| It makes good cookies or candy | 3 |
| My recipes call for it | 2 |
| Miscellaneous | 4 |
| Don't know, no answer | 4 |
| Number of cases | 2,562 |

1/ Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents gave more than 1 reason.

Table 71.--Members of the household who ate peanut butter as a spread, among users of peanut butter who had children under 17 living in the home

|  | Children <br> only | Adults <br> only | Both <br> children <br> and adults | Not used <br> as a <br> spread | Total | Cases |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total --------me---- | 10 | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{10}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{8}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{80}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{2}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{100}$ |
| Children in family | 4 | 31 | 63 | $\frac{\text { Number }}{1,397}$ |  |  |
| Under 5, only ---- | 12 | 3 | 84 | 2 | 100 | 268 |
| $5-16$, only ----- | 11 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 100 | 682 |
| Both age groups -- | 11 |  | 100 | 447 |  |  |

Table 72.--Seasons in which peanut butter was used more among users of peanut butter

|  | Use unvaried | Summer | Fall | Winter | Spring | Not ascertained | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent 1/ | Percent 1/ | Percent 1/ | Percent $1 /$ | Percent 1/ | Percent 1/ | Number |
| Total ------------------------- | 84 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 2,562 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan -------------- | 85 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 832 |
|  | 85 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 1,111 |
| Rural ---------------------- | 81 | 3 | 6 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 619 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower --------------------- | 81 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 950 |
| Middle ------------------- | 85 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 908 |
| Upper ---------------------*. | 86 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 679 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school ------------- | 83 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 793 |
|  | 85 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1,357 |
|  | 82 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 396 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only -------------- | 89 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 274 |
| $5-16$, only | 84 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 696 |
| Both age groups | 85 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 456 |
| No children | 82 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 1,136 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under | 88 |  |  | 7 |  | 2 | 500 |
| $30-39$ | 86 | 3 | 5 | 10 | -3 | 1 | 643 |
| $40-4 \cdot 9$ | 81 | 4 | 6 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 586 |
| $50-59------------\infty-$ | 82 | 3 | 5 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 399 |
| 60 or over | 80 | 5 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 433 |
| Frequency of use of peanut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| butter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Every week or two --...-...- | 86 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1,743 |
| Once or twice a month ------ | 81 | 4 | 6 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 381 |
| Less than once a month ....- | 77 | 4 | 6 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 437 |

[^12]Table 73.--Reasons for seasonal use of peanut butter among homemakers who used it more during certain seasons 1/

|  |  | Seasons when used more |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 74.--Opinions about healthfulness of peanut butter amolig users of peanut butter

|  | Healthful | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { healthful } \end{gathered}$ | Qualified statements | No opinion | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total | 80 | 2 | 4 | 14 | 100 | 2,562 |
| Cormunity size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan --------------------- | 83 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 100 | 832 |
| Urban | 81 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 100 | 1,111 |
| Rural | 78 | 1 | 3 | 18 | 100 | 619 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower -------------------------- | 76 | 2 | 4 | 1.8 | 100 | 950 |
| Middle | 82 | 2 | 4 | 12 | 100 | 908 |
| Upper --------------- | 84 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 100 | 679 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school --------------------------------- | 73 | 2 | 5 | 20 | 100 | 793 |
|  | 82 | 2 | 4 | 12 | 100 | 1,357 |
| College -------- Children in family | 89 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 100 | 396 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only -------------------------------- $5-16, ~$ | 81 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 100 | 274 |
| 5-16, only | 84 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 100 | 697 |
| Both age groups ------------------ | 84 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 100 | 456 |
| No children --- | 76 | 2 | 5 | 17 | 100 | 1,135 |
| Age of respondent ${ }^{\text {Under }} 30$------------------------ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 ---------------------------------------------- | 80 | 2 | 4 | 14 | 100 | 500 |
|  | 82 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 100 | 643 |
| $40-49$------------------------------------------- $50-29$ | 85 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 100 | 586 |
| $50-59$-------------------------------------------- | 77 | 2 | 5 | 16 | 100 | 399 |
| 60 or over ---------------------- Frequency of use of peanut butter | 73 | 3 | 6 | 18 | 100 | 433 |
| $\frac{\text { Frequency of use of peanut butter }}{\text { Every week or two ----------- }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Every week or two --------------- About once or twice a month ---- | 85 | 1 |  | 11 | 1.00 | 1,743 |
| About once or twice a month ----- Less than once a month ------- | 75 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 100 | 381 |
| Less than once a month ---------- | 64 | 3 | 2 | 31 | 100 | 437 |

Table 75.--Reasons for thinking peanut butter is healthful, among users of peanut butter who thought it is healthful

|  | Percent 1/ |
| :---: | :---: |
| It has good food value; nutritious ------------------- | 34 |
| It has proteins ------------------ | 22 |
| It has vitamins .-.- | 21 |
|  | 16 |
| It is filling ---- | 8 |
| It adds weight ----- | 8 |
| It is easy to digest | 5 |
| It is a meat substitute | 3 |
| It serves as a laxative | 2 |
| It contains iron, minerals - | 2 |
|  | 1 |
| It does not have too much sugar | 1 |
|  | 3 |
| Experience has shown it's good for you (no special reason) $\qquad$ | 4 |
| Don't know, no answer ----------------------------------- | 9 |
| Number of cases | 2,063 |

Table 76.--Kinds of peanut butter ever used, among peanut butter users

|  | Smooth | Chunky, crunchy | Both | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total ------------------------------ | 46 | 5 | 49 | 100 | 2,562 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan --------------------- | 46 | 4 | 50 | 100 | 832 |
| Urban - | 45 | 5 | 50 | 100 | 1,111 |
| Rural | 46 | 6 | 48 | 100 | 619 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower ----------------------------- | 52 | 5 | 43 | 100 | 950 |
| Middle - | 44 | 5 | 51 | 100 | 908 |
| Upper ----------------------------- | 39 | 4 | 57 | 100 | 679 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school --------------------- | 52 | 5 | 43 | 100 | 793 |
| High school | 43 | 4 | 53 | 100 | 1,357 |
| College -------------------------- | 41 | 7 | 52 | 100 | 396 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only | 47 | 2 | 51 | 100 | 274 |
| 5-16, only - | 41 | 6 | 53 | 100 | 696 |
| Both age groups ------------------ | 43 | 2 | 55 | 100 | 456 |
| No children ----------------------- | 49 | 6 | 45 | 100 | 1,136 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 --------------------------- | 45 | 5 | 50 | 100 | 500 |
| 30-39- | 46 | 5 | 49 | 100 | 643 |
| 40-49- | 39 | 5 | 56 | 100 | 586 |
| $50-59-$ | 47 | 6 | 47 | 100 | 399 |
| 60 or over ------------------------ | 54 | 5 | 41 | 100 | 433 |
| Frequency of use of peanut butter |  |  |  |  |  |
| Every week or two -------------- | 42 | 4 | 54 | 100 | 1,743 |
| About once or twice a month --..-- | 52 | 5 | 43 | 100 | 381 |
| Less than once a month ---------- | 56 | 7 | 37 | 100 | 437 |

Table 77.--Kind of peanut butter preferred by users of peanut butter


[^13]Table 78.--Where peanut butter was displayed in the store in which peanut butter users usually shopped

|  | Near sandwich and meat spreads | Near <br> cheeses | Near jams and jellies | Near <br> mayon- <br> naise <br> or <br> dressing | Other | Don't <br> know | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total --------------------- | 11 | 2 | 66 | 3 | 2 | 16 | 100 | 2,562 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan - ---------- | 4 | 2 | 74 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 100 | 832 |
| Urban ------------------ | 10 | 1 | 68 | 2 | 3 | 16 | 100 | 1,111 |
| Rural ------------------ | 16 | 3 | 59 | 4 | 2 | 16 | 100 | 619 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower ------------------ | 15 | 2 | 57 | 4 | 3 | 19 | 100 | 950 |
| Middle ----------------- | 9 | 1 | 71 | 3 | 2 | 14 | 100 | 908 |
| Upper ------------------- | 8 | 2 | 73 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 100 | 679 |

Table 79.--Last time peanut butter was bought by users of peanut butter

|  | ```Within past week or two``` | Over 2 weeks to $l$ month ago | Over 1 month to 3 months ago | Over <br> 3 months ago | Don't <br> know | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total | 56 | 23 | 11 | 8 | 2 | 100 | 2,562 |
| Cormunity size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan - -----a--s-a-- | 55 | 23 | 11 | 9 | 2 | 100 | 832 |
| Urben ----------------------- | 57 | 23 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 100 | 1,111 |
| Rural -------------9----9--- | 58 | 24 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 100 | 619 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower -----9---------------- | 51 | 25 | 11 | 10 | 3 | 100 | 950 |
| Midale ----------------------1 | 60 | 22 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 100 | 908 |
| Upper ------n--------------- | 61 | 22 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 100 | 679 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school -------------- | 54 | 23 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 100 | 793 |
| High school ---------------- | 59 | 24 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 100 | 1,357 |
| College ------------------------ | 58 | 21 | 13 | 7 | 1 | 100 | 396 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only -------------- | 56 | 26 | 12 | 6 | $1 /$ | 100 | 274 |
| $5-16$, only ---------------- | 59 | 21 | 6 | 4 | I/ | 100 | 696 |
| Both age groups ------------ | 74 | 17 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 456 |
| No children ---------------- | 43 | 27 | 15 | 12 | 3 | 100 | 1,136 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 23 | 10 |  | 1 | 100 | 500 |
| $30-39$--------------------- | 66 | 21 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 100 | 643 |
| $40-49$---------------s-s--- | 62 | 21 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 100 | 586 |
|  | 48 | 25 | 12 | 13 | 2 | 100 | 399 |
| 60 or over ------------------ | 39 | 28 | 15 | 14 | 4 | 100 | 433 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { butter }}{\text { Every }}$ week or two ----------- | 75 | 20 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 1,743 |
| About once or twice a month | 27 | 45 | 21 | 6 | 1 | 100 | 381 |
| Less than once a month ----- | 8 | 19 | 31 | 36 | 6 | 100 | 437 |

[^14]Table 80.--Amount of peanut butter last bought by users of peanut butter

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ 9 \\ \text { ounces } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \text { to } 13 \\ \text { ounces } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \text { ounces } \\ & \text { to } \\ & 1 \text { pound } \end{aligned}$ | 1 to 2 pounds | 2 pounds or more | Not ascertained | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
|  | 35 | 20 | 14 | 24 | 5 | 2 | 100 | 2,562 |
| Cormunity size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan ----------------- | 34 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 2 | 4 | 100 | 832 |
|  | 33 | 26 | 15 | 20 | 4 | 2 | 100 | 1,111 |
| Rural | 38 | 17 | 9 | 29 | 6 | 1 | 100 | 1,619 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower ------------------------ | 38 | 20 | 12 | 24 | 4 | 2 | 100 | 950 |
| Middle ---------------------- | 32 | 22 | 14 | 25 | 5 | 2 | 100 | 908 |
| Upper | 34 | 19 | 17 | 24 | 4 | 2 | 100 | 679 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school ----------------- | 38 | 19 | 12 | 22 | 6 | 3 | 100 | 793 |
| High school --------------------- | 35 | 21 | 14 | 25 | 4 | 1 | 100 | 1,357 |
| College ------------------------- | 31 | 20 | 17 | 25 | 4 | 3 | 100 | 1,396 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 38 | 23 | 15 | 20 | 1 | 3 | 100 | 274 |
|  | 27 | 21 | 14 | 28 | 8 | 2 | 100 | 696 |
| Both age groups -------------- | 26 | 19 | 12 | 36 | 6 | 1 | 100 | 456 |
| No children -------------------- | 43 | 20 | 14 | 18 | 3 | 2 | 100 | 1,136 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 | 36 | 22 | 15 | 25 |  | 1 | 100 | 500 |
| $30-39$ | 29 | 19 | 15 | 29 | 6 | 2 | 100 | 643 |
| $40-49$ | 30 | 21 | 14 | 26 | 7 | 2 | 100 | 586 |
| $50-59$ | 38 | 22 | 13 | 20 | 4 | 3 | 100 | 399 |
| 60 or over | 45 | 18 | 13 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 100 | 433 |
| Frequency of use of peanut butter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Every week or two ----------- | 27 | 21 | 15 | 30 | 6 | 1 | 100 | 1,743 |
| About once or twice a month -- | 45 | 22 | 13 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 100 | 381 |
| Less than once a month ------ | 57 | 17 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 100 | 437 |



| Total | Had none on hand | Had some not opened | Had some opened for-- |  |  | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2 weeks or less | 2 to 4 weeks | 1 month or more |  |  |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
|  | 27 | 5 | 53 | 10 | 5 | 100 | 2,562 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan --------------- | 26 | 5 | 52 | 10 | 7 | 100 | 832 |
| Urban ----------------------- | 26 | 4 | 54 | 11. | 5 | 100 | 1,111. |
| Rural ---------------------- | 29 | 4 | 53 | 10 | 4 | 100 | 619 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower ---------------------- | 38 | 6 | 43 | 9 | 4 | 100 | 950 |
|  | 22 | 4 | 59 | 10 | 5 | 100 | 908 |
| Upper ---------------------- | 18 | 4 | 62 | 10 | 6 | 100 | 679 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school ------------- | 39 | 4 | 44 | 9 | 4 | 100 | 793 |
| High school ---------------- | 23 | 4 | 58 | 10 | 5 | 100 | 1,357 |
| College --------------------- | 17 | 6 | 59 | 12 | 6 | 100 | 396 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only ------------- | 30 | 4 | 54 | 9 | 3 | 100 | 274 |
| $5-16$, only --------------- | 21 | 4 | 64 | 8 | 3 | 100 | 696 |
| Both age groups ------------ | 22 | 3 | 66 | 7 | 2 | 100 | 456 |
| No children ---------------- | 32 | 6 | 41 | 13 | 8 | 100 | 1,136 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 28 | 4 | 56 | 9 | 3 | 100 | 500 |
| $30-39$--------------------- | 20 | 4 | 61 | 11. | 4 | 100 | 643 |
| $40-49$---------------------- | 26 | 4 | 59 | 7 | 4 | 100 | 586 |
| $50-59$----------------------- | 32 | 5 | 46 | 12 | 5 | 100 | 399 |
| 60 or over ------------------ | 33 | 6 | 38 | 13 | 10 | 100 | 433 |
| Frequency of use of peanut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| butter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Every week or two ---------- | 17 | 4 | 70 | 7 | 2 | 100 | 1,743 |
| About once or twice a month | 36 | 6 | 30 | 20 | 8 | 100 | 381 |
| Less than once a month ----- | 58 | 6 | 9 | 14 | 13 | 100 | 437 |

Table 82.--Where opened peanut butter was usually stored, among users of peanut butter

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Refrigerator } \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { ice box } \end{aligned}$ | Cabinet or shelf | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total --------------------- | 31 | 69 | 100 | 2,562 |
| Cormunity size |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan ------------ | 26 | 74 | 100 | 832 |
| Urban ------------------- | 31 | 69 | 100 | 1,111 |
| Rural ------------------- | 34 | 66 | 100 | 619 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |
| Lower ------------------ | 36 | 64 | 100 | 950 |
| Middle ----------w-------- | 31 | 69 | 100 | 908 |
| Upper -------------------- | 23 | 77 | 100 | 679 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school ----------- | 37 | 63 | 100 | 793 |
| High school -------------- | 29 | 71 | 100 | 1,357 |
| College ----------------- | 24 | 76 | 100 | 396 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only ---------- | 28 | 72 | 100 | 274 |
| 5-16, only ------------- | 27 | 73 | 100 | 696 |
| Both age groups --------- | 29 | 71 | 100 | 456 |
| No children ------------- | 34 | 66 | 100 | 1,136 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 ---------------- | 27 | 73 | 100 | 500 |
| $30-39$------------------ | 30 | 70 | 100 | 643 |
| 40-49 ------------------- | 31 | 69 | 100 | 586 |
|  | 31 | 69 | 100 | 399 |
| 60 or over --------------- | 35 | 65 | 100 | 433 |
| $\frac{\text { Frequency of use of peanut }}{\text { butter }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Every week or two --...-. | 27 | 73 | 100 | 1,743 |
| About once or twice a month | 36 | 64 | 100 | 381 |
| Less than once a month -- | 42 | 58 | 100 | 437 |

Table 83.--Satisfaction with keeping qualities of opened peanut butter, amons users of peanut butter

|  | Satisfied | Dissatisfied | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total --------------------------------------- | 97 | 3 | 100 | 2,562 |
| Frequency of use of peanut butter |  |  |  |  |
| Every week or two ---------------------- | 98 | 2 | 100 | 1,743 |
| About once or twice a month ----------- | 97 | 3 | 100 | 381 |
| Less then once a month ----------------- | 92 | 8 | 100 | 437 |
| Where opened peanut butter was stored |  |  |  |  |
|  | 97 | 3 | 100 | 1,770 |
| Refrigerator ---------------------------- | 95 | 5 | 100 | 785 |

Table 84.--Foods, if any, used in place of peanut butter among users of peanut butter

|  | Percent $1 /$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Substitutes used | 64 |
| Jelly, jam, preserves ------------------------ | 40 |
| Cheese | 17 |
| Butter ------------------------------------- | 12 |
| Meat spreads, meat, canned meat ------------ | 10 |
| Mayonnaise, relishes ------------------------ | 5 |
| Sandwich spreads (unspecified) ------------- | 2 |
|  | 2 |
| Tomatoes, lettuce, vegetables -------------- | 1 |
| Fish ------- | 1 |
| Miscellaneous | 4 |
| No substitutes used | 35 |
| Don't know, no answer | 1 |
| Number of cases --------- | 2,562 |

1/ Percentages (left column) add to more than the subtotal because some respondents named more than 1 food used as a substitute for peanut butter.

Table 85.--Points of resemblance between peanut butter and substitute, among peanut butter users who named substitutes they used when out of peanut butter

| Both are spreads ---------------------------- | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{54}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Both are nourishing | 19 |
| Both are easy to use ------------------------ | 9 |
| Both are tasty | 7 |
| Both are filling | 4 |
| Both are inexpensive | 1 |
| Miscellaneous ------------------------------- | 2 |
| Denial that the foods have any points of similarity | 11 |
| Don't know, no answer -------------------------- | 10 |
| Number of cases ----------- | 1,644 |

1 Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents named more than 1 similarity between peanut butter and the substitutes they used.

Table 86.-Whether candy with nuts in it had been bought during the preceding year for serving in the home

|  | Yes | No | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total | 82 | 18 | 100 | 3,085 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan | 79 | 21 | 100 | 1,072 |
|  | 82 | 18 | 100 | 1,309 |
| Rural | 83 | 17 | 100 | 704 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |
|  | 74 | 26 | 100 | 1,121 |
| Middle | 87 | 13 | 100 | 1,085 |
|  | 88 | 12 | 100 | 838 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |
|  | 72 | 28 | 100 | 978 |
|  | 87 | 13 | 100 | 1,599 |
|  | 85 | 15 | 100 | 486 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |
|  | 91 | 9 | 100 | 299 |
|  | 92 | 8 | 100 | 734 |
| Both age groups ------m---0-0-0-0 | 93 | 7 | 100 | - 448 |
|  | 71 | 29 | 100 | 1,604 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |
|  | 92 | 8 | 100 | 571 |
| $30-39-0-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty$ | 89 | 11 | 100 | 700 |
|  | 89 | 11 | 100 | 671 |
|  | 78 | 22 | 100 | 525 |
|  | 59 | 41 | 100 | 617 |
| Use of peanuts and tree nuts |  |  |  |  |
| Use peanuts only |  | 21 | 100 | 121 |
|  | 67 | 33 8 | 100 | 2,174 |
|  | 35 | 65 | 100 | 312 |

Table 87.--Reasons for not purchasing candy containing nuts, among homemakers who had not bought candy containing nuts in the preceding 12 months

|  | Percent 1/ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Health reasons |  |
|  | 20 |
| Can't eat candy | 19 |
| On diet (whether candy or nuts prohibited not specified) $\qquad$ | 18 |
| Preference reasons |  |
| Like candy without nuts better --------m------- | 12 |
|  | 11 |
| Health or preference not specified |  |
| Don't eat candy $=-=-\infty=-\infty$ | 12 |
| Don't eat nuts | 2 |
| Other |  |
|  | 6 |
| Cannot afford it ----- | 3 |
| Miscellaneous | 3 |
| Don't know, no answer | 5 |
| Number of cases --m---m----- | 576 |

Table 88.--Kinds of nuts in candy bought by users of candy or candy bars containing nuts

|  | Percent $1 /$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 80 |
|  | 74 |
| Pecans -- | 42 |
|  | 28 |
|  | 13 |
| Filberts | 8 |
| Brazil nuts | 3 |
| Black walnuts | 1 |
| Others | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| Number of cases --------------- | 2,509 |

1/ Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents named more than 1 nut.

Table 89.--Whether users of candy containing nuts preferred candy with or without nuts

|  | With nuts | Without nuts | No preference | Total | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Number |
| Total | 63 | 12 | 25 | 100 | 2,509 |
| Community size |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan $=-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty$ | 56 | 14 | 30 | 100 | 850 |
|  | 64 | 11 | 25 | 100 | 1,075 |
| Rural | 66 | 12 | 22 | 100 | 584 |
| Family income group |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 62 | 14 | 24 | 100 | 883 |
|  | 62 | 12 | 26 | 100 | 912 |
|  | 66 | 9 | 25 | 100 | 691 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade school -----mom-mom-0 | 60 | 15 | 25 | 100 | 738 |
| High school $=---\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty$ | 62 | 11 | 27 | 100 | 1,368 |
|  | 70 | 10 | 20 | 100 | -393 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 62 | 8 | 30 | 100 | 273 |
|  | 63 | 11 | 26 | 100 | 690 |
|  | 68 | 8 | 24 | 100 | 440 |
|  | 61 | 15 | 24 | 100 | 1,106 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 62 | 9 | 29 | 100 | 514 |
|  | 65 | 9 | 26 | 100 | 623 |
|  | 66 | 9 | 25 | 100 | 608 |
|  | 62 | 16 | 22 | 100 | 403 |
| 60 or over ---------s-m----- | 55 | 23 | 22 | 100 | 361 |
| Use of peanuts and tree nuts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use peanuts only -------m-m- | 55 | 14 | 31 | 100 | 95 |
| Use tree nuts only $=-\cdots--_{-0-}$ | 53 | 21. | 26 | 100 | 320 |
| Use both peanuts and tree nuts $\qquad$ | 66 | 96 | 25 | 100 | 1,985 |
| Use neither m--------------0 | 39 | 36 | 25 | 100 | 109 |

[^15]Table 90. --Kinds of nuts preferred in candy among users of candy containing nuts


Table 9l.--Proportions of users of candy containing nuts who were satisfied with the amount of nuts in candy

|  | Satisfied | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { satisfied } \end{gathered}$ | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Percent | Number |
|  | 85 | 15 | 2,509 |
| Community size |  |  |  |
|  | 86 | 14 | 850 |
| Urban -----------------m-m- | 86 | 14 | 1,075 |
|  | 85 | 15 | - 584 |
| Family income Eroup |  |  |  |
| Lower ---mo----*------------ | 88 | 12 | 883 |
|  | 86 | 14 | 912 |
|  | 82 | 18 | 691 |
| Education of respondent |  |  |  |
| Grade school ----------m-s- | 90 | 10 | 738 |
| High school ----me-m-0-meso | 85 | 15 | 1,368 |
| College --------------------- | 80 | 20 | 393 |
| Children in family |  |  |  |
| Under 5, only ------mo.---- | 85 | 15 | 273 |
| $5-16$, only $-\times-\cdots-\cdots-\cdots-\cdots-$ | 84 | 16 | 690 |
| Both age groups $=-=-\infty-\infty-\infty$ | 84 | 16 | 440 |
| No children | 87 | 13 | 1,106 |
| Age of respondent |  |  |  |
| Under $30-\infty-\infty-\infty$ | 84 | 16 | 514 |
|  | 84 | 16 | 623 |
|  | 86 | 14 | 608 |
| $50-59$ | 86 | 14 | 403 |
| 60 or over | 90 | 10 | 361 |
| How candy is preferred |  |  |  |
| With nuts --------------- | 81 |  |  |
| Without nuts $-\cdots-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty$ | 96 | 4 | 301 |
| No preference ------mom-e-- | 93 | 7 | 629 |

## The questions used in this study <br> are reproduced below. <br> THE QUESTIONNAIRE

U. S. Department of Agriculture Agricultural Marketing Service Market Development Branch Market Surveys Section

Budget Bureau No. 40-5566.1
Expiration Date: 12/31/55

Interview No. $\qquad$
CONSUMER PREFERENCES FOR PEANUTS AND TREE NUTS
City or Township (or Minor Civil Division) $\qquad$ State $\qquad$
Designated household:
(Street or RFD No.)
(House No.)
(Floor, Apt. or other designation)
$\square$ Mark "X" - If no cooking facilities

## SECTION A - NUTS

## Green Card

la. Which of the following kinds of nuts have you used in your home in the last 12 months? (Show card. Then ask about each nut not covered by respondent.) How about salted peanuts? Roasted peanuts in their shells? etc.
Type of nut
Salted peanuts?
Peanuts, roasted
in shells?

Almonds?
English walnuts?
Black walnuts?
Pecans?
Filberts? or (if no)
Hazel nuts?
Cashews?
Mixed nuts?

b. Why don't you use:

Why don't you use salted peanuts?
Why don't you use peanuts, roasted in shells?


Why don't you use these other nuts?

2a. (If "No" to both types of peanuts): Think back over the past 12 months and tell me whether you have used peanuts in your home for some special occasion or holiday. (If respondent recalls use, then ask what type and, if "salted" or if "roasted in shells," edit answers written above.)

2b. (If "No" to all of the "other nuts" -- almonds, English walnuts, pecans, filberts (hazel nuts), cashews, mixed nuts): Think back over the past 12 months and tell me whether you have used nuts such as almonds, English walnuts, pecans or filberts for some special occasion or holiday? (If respondent recalls use, then ask what type of nut and edit answers above.)

IF "NO" TO ALL ITEMS IN la (AFTER EDITING FOR ANSWERS TO 2a AND 2b) THEN ASK Ib AND SKIP TO SECTION B.
ASK ABOUT EACH NUT USED
3a. How frequently during the past 12 months have (name nut) been used in your home?

```
Every week or two
About once or twice a month
Less than once a month, but more than 3 times a year
Three times a year or less
Doesn't use this nut
```

IF EITHER PEANUT USED LESS OFTEN THAN "EVERY WEEK OR TWO"
b. Why don't you use (name kind of peanut) more often?

IF BOTH TYPES USED LESS OFTEN THAN "EVERY WEEK OR TWO"
IF ANY OF "OTHER NUTS" USED LESS OFTEN TEAN "EVERY WEEK OR TWO"
d. Why don't you use these nuts more of ten?

## ASK IF USES PEANUTS

4a. In the last 12 months, in which of the following places have you bought peanuts: Regular food shops or markets, special nut or candy shops, or where?

## IF MORE THAN ONE PLACE

b. In which of these places do you most often buy them?
5. Are peanuts usually available in the place you shop for food?

Yes (as far as I know) _ No __ Don't know _
ASK IF USES "OTHER NUPS"
6a. In the last 12 months, in which of the following places have you bought (name all "other nuts" used): Regular food shops or markets, special nut or candy shops, or where?
IF MORE THAN ONE PLACE
b. In which of these places do you most often buy them?

7a. Are (name all "other nuts" used) usually available in the place you shop for food?
Yes (as far as I know) _ No_ Don't know__ Some are, some are not _
IF "SOME ARE - SOME ARE NOT"
b. Which ones are not evailable?

## ASK IF USES PEANUTS

8a. In general, would you say peanuts are used in your home more during certain seasons or times of the year than during other times?

## Yes _No

$\qquad$
IF YES
D. During which seasons or times do you use them more? (Check one or more)

In summer
In fall
In winter
In spring
Time mentioned - when?
c. Why do you use peanuts more during this season (or time of year)?

ASK IF USES "OTHER NUTS"
9a. In general, would you say (name "other nuts" used) are used in your home more during certain seasons or times of the year than during other times?

> Yes
$\qquad$ No $\qquad$
IF "YES"
b. During which seasons or times do you use them more? (Check one or more)

| In summer | In spring |
| :--- | :--- |
| In fall | Time mentioned - when? |

c. Why do you use (name all "other nuts" used) more during this season (or time of year)?

## Blue card

10a. Please look at this card and tell me in which ways you have used (name nut) in your home in the last 12 months. Have you used (nut) for snacks, that is, eating out of hand or with beverages? Have you used (nut) for salads, etc.? (Show blue card and read each use for first type of nut used. Then repeat for next type of nut used, etc.) ASK FOR -- salted peanuts, roasted peanuts, almonds, English walnuts, pecans, filberts (hazel nuts)

```
Snacks (out of hand, with beverages, etc.)
    In salads
    Topping for ice cream or desserts
    Making candy
    Baking (cakes, cookies, pastries)
    Cooking (dressings, sauces, vegetables, in puddings, etc.)
    Other ways (specify)
```

    ASK FOR EACH NUT USED:
    100. What are some of the reasons you like to use (name nut) this way (these ways)?
    IF MORE THAN ONE "OTHER NUT" USED FOR ANY ONE PURPOSE
    lla. Which one of the nuts do you prefer to (name use) (and read off all nuts used this way)?
        Repeat for next way in which more than one nut was used.
        IF HAS PREFERENCE (OR "DEPENDS")
    llb. Why do you prefer (name nut preferred) for (use)? (Ask separately for each use.)
    
## ASK ALL NUT USERS

12. Are there any of these nuts which you feel would not be good to use in salads? Almonds, English walnuts, pecans, filberts (or hazel nuts)? (Repeat) For use in baking? (Repeat) For use in cooking?
13. When was the last time (name kind of nut) were bought for use in your home?

14a. What amount did you buy the last time you bought (name kind of nut)?
b. What size container did you buy the last time you bought (name kind of nut)?

15a. Are you satisiied with the size container you last bought?
IF "NO".
b. Would you rather have it larger or smaller?

16a. In the last 12 months, did you buy (name nut used) in their shells, out of their shells, or both ways?
IF "BOITH WAYS"
b. The last time you bought them, was it in their shells or out of their shells?

17a. Do you prefer (name kind of nut) in their shells or taken out of their shells?
IF "IN SHELTS," "OUT OF SHCLLS" OR "DEPENDS"
IF "OUT OF SHELLS" OR "BOTH WAYS" (See \&. 16a)
18. When you buy nuts out of their shells, would you prefer the whole or half kernels, or would you prefer (name of nut) chopped or dicea?

## ASK IF USES SALTED PEANUTS

19a. In the last 12 months, have you bought salted peanuts in any of these ways--loose, boxed, in vacuum cans, in cellophane bags, in glass jars, or some other way? (Check in column a below.) $\frac{\text { ASK FOR EACH WAY BOUGHP }}{\text { b. Are there any things }}$ ASK FOR EACH TYPE ABOUT WHICH SOIFTHING IS DISLIKED
c. What don't you like about it? (Record in column c below)

IF USES SALTED PEANUTS
20a. Are you saitisfied or not with the quality and freshness of the salted peanuts you buy? Satisfied $\qquad$ Dissatisfied $\qquad$
IF "DISSATISFIED"
b. Why are you dissatisfied?

IF USES ROASTED PEANUTS
2la. Are you satisfied or not with the quality and freshness of the roasted peanuts you buy? Satisfied $\qquad$ Dissatisfied $\qquad$
IF "DISSATISFIED"
b. Why are you dissatisfied?

ASK IF USES "OTHER NUTS" BOUGHT OUT OF SHELLS
22a. In the last 12 months, which ways have you bought (name nuts which apply) out of their shells-loose, boxed, in vacuum cans, in cellophane bags, glass jars, or some other way? (Check in column a below as many as apply)
$\frac{\text { ASK FOR EACH WAY BOUGHP }}{\text { b. Are there any things }}$ $\frac{\text { ASK FOR EACH TYPE ABOUP WHICH SOMETHING IS DISLIKED }}{c \text {. What don't you like about it? (Record in column }} \mathrm{c}$ below)
23a. Are you satisfied or not with the quality and freshness of the (name nuts used) you buy out of their shells?

> Satisfied
$\qquad$ Dissatisfied $\qquad$

## IF DISSATISFIED

b. Which nuts are you dissatisfied with? (Check all that apply)

Almonds, English walnuts, pecans, filberts (hazel nuts), all of them
c. Why are you dissatisfied?

24a. Do you feel that peanuts are too expensive to use regularly in your home?
b. Do you feel that these "other nuts" -- almonds, pecans, walnuts and filberts (hazel nuts) -are too expensive to use regularly in your home?
Yes _ No ___ Some are, some are not __ Don't know __

IF "YES, TOO EXPENSIVE" IN BOTH a AND b
c. Do you feel that in relation to other kinds of nuts--like almonds, pecans, walnuts and filberts (hazel nuts)--peanuts are expensive or not expensive?

Yes_No Are expensive compared to some but not others $\qquad$ Don't know $\qquad$
25a. Are there any children in your home under $16 ?$

$\qquad$
IF "YES - THERE ARE CHILDREN UNDER 16"
b. Aside from when peanuts are used in prepared foods, who in the family usually eats them: the children only, the adults only, or both the children and the adults?
c. Aside from when the "other nuts" -- almonds, pecans, walnuts and filberts (hazel nuts) -- are used in prepared foods, who in the family usually eats them: The children only, the adults only, or both the children and the adults?

26a. Do you feel that peanuts are in general healthful or not?
Healthful_ Not healthful__ Qualified or other (write comment)__ No opinion__
IF "HEALTHFUL" OR "NOT HEALTHFUL"
b. In what ways?

27a. Do you feel that the "other nuts" -- almonds, pecans, walnuts and filberts (hazel nuts) -- are in general healthful or not?
Healthful_ Not healthful__ Qualified or other (write comment)_ No opinion__
$\frac{\text { IF "HEALTHFUL" OR "NOT HFALTHFUL" }}{\text { b. In what ways? }}$
SECTION B - PEANUT BUTTER
la. Have you used peanut butter in your home during the last 12 months.
Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
IF "NO"
$\overline{\mathrm{b} . ~ W h y ~ i s ~ i t ~ t h a t ~ y o u ~ d o n ' t ~ u s e ~ p e a n u t ~ b u t t e r ? ~ S K I P ~ T O ~ S E C I I O N ~ C ~}$
2a. How frequently have you used peanut butter in your home during the last 12 morths?
Every week or two Less than once a month, but more than 3 times a year
About once or twice a month
Three times a year or less
IF LESS THAN "EVERY WEEK OR TWO"
b. Why don't you use peanut butter in your home more often?

3a. Is peanut butter used for a spread in your home? Yes
b. Do you use peanut butter in baking or other food preparation?
No Yes No $\qquad$ $\frac{\text { IF "YES" TO } 3 \mathrm{~b}}{\mathrm{c} \text {. For what specifically? }}$
4. Why do you like to use peanut butter in the way (ways) you use it?

5a. When you do not have peanut butter in the house, what other food or foods, if any, do you use in its place?
IF SOME FOOD OR FOODS NAMED
b. Why do you consider (this) these foods in the same class as peanut butter.

6a. In general, do you feel that peanuc butter is healthful or not?
Healthful_ Not healthful__ Eualified or other (Write comment)_ No opinion__
$\frac{\text { IF }}{\text { b. HEALTHFU" OR "NOT HEALTHFUL" }}$

7a. Are there any children in your home under 16 ?
Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
IF "YES, THERE ARE CHILDRIEN UNDER 16"
b. Who in your family usually eats peanut butter as a spread; the children only, the adults only, or both children and adults?
Children only
$\qquad$ Adults only $\qquad$ Both $\qquad$ Not used as spread $\qquad$
IF "CHILDREN ONLY"
c. Why is it that the adults in your home do not use peanut butter as a spread?

8 a . In general, would you say peanut butter is used in your home more during certain seasons or times of the year than during other times?


IF "YES"
b. During which seasons or times do you use it more?

Surmer $\qquad$ Fall $\qquad$ Winter $\qquad$ Spring $\qquad$ Time mentioned $\qquad$ When?
c. Why do you use peanut butter more during this season (or time of year)?

9a. Do you have any peanut butter in the house now? Yes__ No__ Don't know___ IF "YES"
b. Do you have any opened container?


Don't know $\frac{\text { IF "YES" TO b }}{\text { c. HOw long has it been opened? }}$

## 2 weeks or less

Over 2 weeks up to 1 month Over 3 months
Over 1 month to 3 months Don't know
10. Where do you usually store peanut butter after it has been opened?

In refrigerator or ice box $\qquad$ In cabinet, shelf or other place $\qquad$
lla. In general, are you satisfied with the keeping qualities of peanut butter after it has been opened? $\qquad$
$\frac{\text { IF "NO" }}{\text { b. Why is that? }}$
12. Where, in the store in which you generally shop, is the peanut butter displayed: near the sandwich and meat spreads, near cheeses, near jams and jellies, or where?
13. When was the last time you bought peanut butter?

Within past week or two Over 3 months to 6 months ago
Over 2 weeks up to 1 month ago
Over 6 months ago
Over 1 month to 3 months ago
Don't know
14a. How much peanut butter did you buy at that time? Total amount: $\qquad$ oz.
More than one
$\qquad$ Ibs.
b. Was this one jar (or can) or more than one?

One unit $\qquad$
$\qquad$ IF "MORE THAN ONE" UNIT BOUGHT AT LAST PURCHASE IF "SAME SIZE"
d. What was the size of each unit? $\qquad$ oz. or $\qquad$ lbs. IF "DIFFERENT SIZES"
e. What was the size of each of the units?
lst: ozs.
2nd: —ozs.
or $\qquad$ lbs.
f. Which size do you usually buy? (Check box above)

15a. Are you generally satisfied with this size container? (If last bought more than one unit of different sizes, ask about usual size bought)

IF "NO"
b. Why is that?

16a. In the last 12 months, have you bought peanut butter in any of the following ways: in can or tin, in jar with pry top, in jar with screw top, in tumbler with pry top, or how? (Check in column a below, all that apply)
$\frac{\text { ASK FOR EACH WAY BOUGHT }}{\text { b. Are there any thing }}$
b. Are there any things you don't like about this type of container? (Record in column b below) $\frac{\text { ASK FOR EACH TYPE OF CONTAINER ABOUT WHICH SOMEIHING IS DISLIKED }}{\text { c. What don't you like about it? (Record in column } c \text { below) }}$
17. Which kind of peanut butter have you used: The smooth kind, the chunky or crunchy kind, or both?
18. Which kind of peanut butter do you like better: the smooth kind or the chunky or crunchy kind?
Smooth
Chunky, crunchy, etc.
No preference $\qquad$ Don't know $\qquad$

SECTION C: CANDY WITH NUTS
la. During the last year have you bought, for serving in your home, any candy with nuts in it, such as peanut brittle, candy bars with nuts or boxed chocolates containing nuts? Yes__ No__
IF "NO"
b. How does it happen that you haven't bought candy or candy bars with nuts in it in the last year? (SKIP TO QUESTIONS ON NEXT PAGE)
IF "YES"
c. What kinds of nuts have you bought in candy or candy bars?
Peanuts_ Almonds_ English walnuts__ Pecans__ Filberts (hazel nuts)_
Cashews___
2. Do you generally prefer candy with nuts or without nuts, or doesn't it make any difference to you? With nuts_ Without nuts_ No preference__
3a. Are there any kinds of nuts you especially prefer in candy or candy bars? Yes__ No $\qquad$ IF "YES"
b. What kinds?

Peanuts__ Almonds
English walnuts_ Pecans
Filberts (hazel nuts)__ Cashews__ Other (specify)
4. In general, are you satisfied with the amount of nuts in candy or candy bars?

Satisfied_ No, not satisfied__ Comments: $\qquad$
ASK EVERYONE
I. Have you ever baked a pecan pie?

Yes_ No
Don't remember___
IF "YES"
b. When was the last time?
II. Have you ever used raw peanuts in your home?


IF "NO" OR "DON'T REMEMBER"
b. Have you ever heard of raw peanuts?

Yes_ No__ Don't remember__

## NUTS RECEIVED AS GIFT

If respondent has mentioned that nuts have been given to him or to some other member of the household during the past year, indicate the types of nuts received as gifts. (Check all that apply)
Salted peanuts
Peanuts roasted in shells
Almonds
English walnuts
Black walnuts
Pecans
Filberts (hazel nuts)__
Cashews
Mixed nuts__

## HOME GROWN NUTS

If respondent has mentioned that a certain type or types of nuts are grown by somebody in the household, indicate the types of nuts grown. (Check all that apply)

Peanuts $\qquad$ Almonds $\qquad$ English walnuts $\qquad$ Black walnuts $\qquad$ Pecans $\qquad$
Filberts (hazel nuts)

## SECTION D:

1. Sex of respondent:

Male $\qquad$ Female $\qquad$
2. What was the last grade of school that you completed?

None or sone grammar school
Finished grammar school
Some high school
Finished high school
Sorae college
Finished college
3. Race:

White $\qquad$ Negro $\qquad$ Other $\qquad$
4a. Including yourself, how many people over 16 years old (including roomers, if any) live in your home?
b. How many children between 5 and 16 years old live in your home?
c. How many children under 5 years old live in your home?

> Pink card
5. (Show card) Please look at this card and tell me which sum comes closest to your total family income.

Weekly
income

## Yearly

 incomeUnder \$1,000

| A. | Under $\$ 20$ | Under $\$ 1,000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| B. | $\$ 20-\$ 29$ | $\$ 1,000-\$ 1,499$ |
| C. | $\$ 30-\$ 34$ | $\$ 1,500-\$ 1,749$ |
| D. | $\$ 35-\$ 39$ | $\$ 1,750-\$ 1,999$ |
| E. | $\$ 40-\$ 44$ | $\$ 2,000-\$ 2,249$ |
| F. | $\$ 45-\$ 49$ | $\$ 2,250-\$ 2,499$ |
| G. | $\$ 50-\$ 59$ | $\$ 2,500-\$ 2,999$ |
| H. | $\$ 60-\$ 79$ | $\$ 3,000-\$ 3,999$ |
| I. | $\$ 80-\$ 99$ | $\$ 4,000-\$ 4,999$ |
| J. | $\$ 100-\$ 124$ | $\$ 5,000-\$ 6,499$ |
| K. | $\$ 125-\$ 149$ | $\$ 6,500-\$ 7,499$ |
| L. | $\$ 150-$ and over | $\$ 7,500-$ and over |

6. For statistical purposes we need some information about your age. Are you: (Only ask intervals which seem appropriate)
```
Less than }2
From 21 to 29
From 30 to 39
From 40 to 49
From }50\mathrm{ to }5
6 0 \text { or over}
```

7. Do you own your own home or rent?
$\qquad$ Rent $\qquad$ Other $\qquad$ (Specify) $\qquad$
8. Does your home have central heating?
Yes
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3268 \\
& 6000 \\
& -72,68
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
513268 \\
7502 \\
7,68
\end{gathered}
$$




[^0]:    l/ Botanically the peanut is not a nut, but peanuts are called nuts, in the popular sense, in this report.

[^1]:    2/ This refers to the serving of nuts per se and not to use of nuts in food preparation.

[^2]:    When answering questions on amounts purchased, homemakers who were not sure were encouraged to give an estimate.

[^3]:    447140 O-57-3

[^4]:    4/ The definitions of all terms such as "household," "metropolitan area," etc., are the same as those used in the 1950 U. S. Census of Population and Housing. All population estimates are taken from the same source unless otherwise indicated.

    5/ Blocks with 200 or more dwelling units were divided into 2 or more parts, each part thereafter being treated as a separate sampling unit. Blocks with 10 or fewer dwelling units were combined with adjacent blocks. These block combinations thereafter were treated as a single block.
    6/ The number of dwelling units on blocks in urban places of less than 50,000 population was taken from dwelling unit counts of Sanborn Maps. The number of dwelling units in open country was estimated from county highway maps and aerial photographs.

[^5]:    7/ The number of primary sampling units in urban and rural parts of counties are proportional to population, but as there are twice as many interviews assigned to each urban part of county selected ( 24 as to l2) the overall sampling rate for the urban paris of counties is twice as great as the overall sampling rate for the rural parts of counties. It is therefore necessary to upweight the rural sample by 2.
    8) The rural definition used is somewhat different from the definition used in the census. It includes the entire population of the county that does not live in urban places of 2,500 or more people. Thus, some persons living in the urbanized area of larger cities, but not in the city itself, are included in the rural sample.

[^6]:    -sqnuead

[^7]:    1/ Less than 0.5 percent.

[^8]:    2/ Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents gave more than l reason.

[^9]:    1．Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents gave more than 1 reason．
    2］$L$ ess than 0.5 percent．

[^10]:    1/ Reasons for increased use in spring or summer are not shown because there were too few cases for reliable percentages.

    > Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents gave more than 1 reason. Less than 0.5 percent.

[^11]:    1/ Less than 0.5 percent.

[^12]:    1/ Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents named more than 1 season. $\frac{1}{2} /$ Less than 0.5 percent.

[^13]:    1/ Less than 0.5 percent.

[^14]:    1) Less than 0.5 percent.
[^15]:    Percentages add to more than 100 because some respondents named more than 1 nut.

